Magnetohydrodynamic Flow of Liquid-Metal in Circular Pipes for Externally Heated and Non-Heated Cases
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ABSTRACT

The Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) study of external magnetic field effect on the steady, laminar, incompressible flow of an electrically conducting liquid-metal fluid in a pipe has been performed. The MHD Module of ANSYS Fluent commercial programme has been used to compute the flow and temperature fields. Na\textsuperscript{22}K\textsuperscript{78} (sodium potassium) alloy has been used as operating fluid, which is liquid in room temperature. The simulations are performed for two different cases, first a non-heated pipe flow and secondly an externally heated pipe flow. For both cases, three different magnitude uniform external magnetic field, B\textsubscript{0}, applied (which are B\textsubscript{0} = 0.5 T, 1.0 T and 1.25 T, T represents Tesla). The results are compared for the MHD effect on the flow variables in two cases separately, but also compared for heated and non-heated cases in order to analyze the temperature effect on MHD flows, as well. It is observed that heating is reducing the magnetic effect on the flow field. While in non-heated cases it is observed that very well-known slowing down effect of MHD on fluid flow, in heated case the velocity field shows a tendency to behave as if it were MHD is not applied. Towards the end of the physical length the heating seems dominating the MHD effect. It is shown that in heated case temperature differences and entropy differences are in tendency to behave as if it were MHD is not applied.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>total magnetic field vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B\textsubscript{0}</td>
<td>external applied magnetic field vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>induced magnetic field vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>induction field vector for electric field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>the pipe diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>the electric field vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>induction field vector for magnetic field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{m}\textsuperscript{-1}</td>
<td>henries per meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>heat transfer coefficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>the electric current density vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>length of the pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHD</td>
<td>magnetohydrodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na\textsuperscript{22}K\textsuperscript{78}</td>
<td>sodium potassium alloy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nu</td>
<td>Nusselt number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q</td>
<td>electric charge density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q''</td>
<td>heat flux vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re\textsubscript{m}</td>
<td>magnetic Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Siemens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T\textsubscript{env}</td>
<td>environment temperature of the pipe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U\textsubscript{in}</td>
<td>the pipe inlet velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε</td>
<td>electric permittivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ\textsubscript{0}</td>
<td>magnetic permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν</td>
<td>kinematic viscosity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ</td>
<td>electrical conductivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

The control of electrically conducting fluids is getting more and more importance in recent years. Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) flow control method is one of the commonly used. After Hartmann (1937) investigations on the MHD flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting fluid now the MHD flow finds a wider range area of study (Grigoriadis et al. 2009; Jing et al. 2013; Gedik et al. 2012; Kumar et al. 2013). The studies on MHD are becoming much important since these type flow fields are commonly encountered in many engineering fields such as at nuclear reactors as a coolant fluid (Sheng 1990). Also recent developments such as Lorentz Force Velocimetry (LFV) which uses reacting force of the fluid to the Lorentz force (Thess et al. 2006; Jian and Karcher 2012) makes the MHD flow studies more popular.

Self-cooled liquid metal blankets in fusion reactor systems could be given as one of the mostly used application of liquid metal flows. Due to high heat transfer coefficients, the liquid metals show high heat transfer performances. This characteristic makes this kind of liquids a best option as a working fluid in fusion reactor systems (Serizawa et al. 1990). However the liquid metals also has high electrical conductivity which causing large magnetic pressure drop (Cuevas et al. 1997; Ying and Gaizer 1995). One of the way to reduce the MHD pressure drop is to apply an electrically insulating coating on the duct walls (Malang and Tillack 1995).

In this study the effect of uniform magnetic field on the velocity field of an electrically conducting liquid metal flow in a circular pipe has been investigated. Also externally applied uniform heat flux together with magnetic field is applied to investigate the interactions of heat and MHD effects. Na2K2 alloy has been used as liquid metal. The eutectic mixture Na2K2 consists of 78% potassium and 22% sodium, is liquid at a temperature higher than -10°C, and has a density of 866 kg/m³ at 21°C. The kinematic viscosity, v, electrical conductivity, σ, and magnetic permeability, μ₀, are 9.8498×10⁻⁴ kg/ms, 2.457×10⁻⁶ S/m, 4π×10¹¹ H/m, respectively. The paper arranged as follows. First, the problem description and methods are presented by a brief description of the magnetic induction method which is the method applied by the MHD module of ANSYS Fluent. In the following sections the paper divided in two parts; in the first part non-heated pipe flow with MHD applied case results are given. In the second part externally heated pipe flow together with the MHD effects are given, then the results are compared with the non-heated cases. In the final section some concluding remarks are given.

1.1 Problem Description

The study has been divided into two parts; in first part it is considered the flow of a steady, laminar, viscous conducting liquid-metal in circular pipe under the influence of a uniform transverse magnetic field with constant pressure gradient which can be seen schematically in Fig. 1. The pipe length is chosen as l = 300 mm and diameter chosen as d = 10 mm. The uniform magnetic field applied perpendicular to the flow direction. In second part, it has been implemented additional constant heat flux, q'', as a difference from the non-heated case. The pipe inlet velocity is $U_{in} = 0.05 \text{ m/s}$ in order to achieve a laminar flow in pipe with given fluid and pipe properties. $T_{env}$ represents the temperature of the environment.

The magnetic Reynolds numbers, Reₘ = μ₀συRe is in the order of 10⁻⁷. In the case of Reₘ << 1 induced magnetic field, b, is much smaller compare to applied magnetic field, Bo This means the induced magnetic field can be negligible in the total magnetic field, B. The governing equations of an incompressible electrically conducting liquid-metal fluid in circular pipes can be given with Navier-Stokes equations;

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla u = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 u + \frac{1}{\rho} (J \times B), \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla u = 0. \quad (2)$$

Electromagnetic fields are described by Maxwell’s equations:

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \cdot D = q, \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla \times H = J + \frac{\partial D}{\partial t}, \quad (6)$$

The induction fields H and D are defined as,
H = \frac{1}{\mu} B, \quad \text{(7)}

D = \varepsilon E, \quad \text{(8)}

The problem given has been solved with using ANSYS Fluent MHD Module. In non MHD case applied magnetic field has been solved by exploit symmetry of the geometry (see Fig. 1). The magnetic induction method has been chosen in MHD module, which can be found in following section.

### 1.2 Magnetic Induction Method

In general Ohm’s Law that defines the current density is given by;

\[ J = \sigma E \quad \text{(9)} \]

for fluid velocity field \( u \) in a magnetic field \( B \),

\[ J = \sigma (E + u \times B) \quad \text{(10)} \]

From Eq. 4,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times E, \quad \text{(11)} \]

From Eq. 10,

\[ E = -\frac{J}{\sigma} - u \times B, \quad \text{(12)} \]

Take the curl;

\[ \nabla \times E = \nabla \times \left( \frac{J}{\sigma} - u \times B \right), \quad \text{(13)} \]

Inserting Eq. 13 into Eq. 11 we get,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left( \frac{J}{\sigma} - u \times B \right), \quad \text{(14)} \]

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left( \frac{J}{\sigma} \right) + \nabla \times (u \times B), \quad \text{(15)} \]

if the displacement current neglected customarily, we rewrite Eq. 6 as,

\[ \nabla \times H = J, \quad \text{(16)} \]

with using Eq. 7,

\[ \frac{1}{\mu} (V \times B) = J, \quad \text{(17)} \]

if we substitute Eq. 17 into Eq. 15 we get,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu \sigma} (V \times B) \right) + \nabla \times (u \times B), \quad \text{(18)} \]

could be written as;

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\mu \sigma} \nabla \times (V \times B) + \nabla \times (u \times B) \quad \text{(19)} \]

Here if we use the very well-known property; \( a \times (b \times c) = b(a \cdot c) - c(a \cdot b) \) rewrite the Eq. 19,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\mu \sigma} (\nabla \times (V \cdot B) - B(\nabla \times V)) 
\]

\[ + u \nabla \times (V \cdot B) - B(\nabla \cdot u), \quad \text{(20)} \]

with using Eq. 3,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu \sigma} \nabla \times (u \times B) \quad \text{(21)} \]

arranging Eq. 21 we get,

\[ \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = B(\nabla \cdot u) = \frac{1}{\mu \sigma} \nabla \times (u \times B), \quad \text{(22)} \]

Eq. 22 is the induction equation derived from Ohm’s law and Maxwell’s equations. By solving Eq. 22 it is possible to obtain \( B \), and using the \( B \) it is possible to obtain the \( J \) (see Eq. 17).

### 1.3 Solution Method

A laminar viscous model is used in ANSYS Fluent. Electrically insulating wall boundary conditions have been chosen for MHD boundary conditions. No-slip boundary conditions are also applied at walls which means axial velocity which is along the centerline of the pipe, \( u \), equal to wall velocity;

\[ u_{wall} = 0. \quad \text{(23)} \]

Velocity boundary conditions are implemented at inlet, this is;

\[ U_{in} = 0.05m/s, \quad \text{(24)} \]

for non-heated cases. The below are the boundary conditions for heat flux applied cases,

\[ U_{in} = 0.05m/s, T_{in} = 300K. \quad \text{(25)} \]

Pressure boundary conditions are implemented in the outlet which is;

\[ P_x = P_{atm} \quad \text{(26)} \]

Pressure based solver has been used. SIMPLE scheme is used for pressure-velocity coupling, second order upwind discretization approach used for all pressure, momentum, energy and magnetic field equations. In all the simulations before applying MHD effect, flow field variables are allowed to reach a fully developed state.

For the externally heated pipe case, all the above developed solution approaches methods together with an externally applied constant heat flux, \( q \), and inlet temperature, \( T_{in} \) are applied.
2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 Part I: MHD in non-heated Pipe Flow

In Fig. 2 simulation results for all four different magnitude of \( B_0 \) magnitudes for axial velocity are given. However for \( B = 0 \)T and \( B = 0.5 \)T cases results are seems similar, there is a slow down in fluid flow which is more clear for cases \( B = 1 \)T and \( B = 1.25 \)T.

![Fig. 2. The velocity contours from the upper symmetric part of the cylinder pipe.](image)

Figure 3 represents the axial velocity in radial direction for the upper half of the pipe from the four different locations along the pipe. These locations are \( x = 50 \) mm, \( 150 \) mm, \( 250 \) mm and \( 298 \) mm, respectively. While \( d = 0 \) represents the symmetry axis, \( x, d = 0.005 \) represents the periphery of the cylinder as measured meter.

It is note that the pipe flow reacts a fully developed state about the location \( x = 250 \) mm. In non-magnetic force applied case (\( B = 0 \)T), the velocity of the pipe is approximately \( 0.1 \) m/s at the just about the pipe outlet, though in magnetic force applied case the velocity values are getting lower depending on the strength of the applied external magnetic field. This retardation of the fluid flow under the magnetic field effect has been observed by many authors (Cuevas et al. 1997; Raja et al. 2012; Seth and Singh 2013). Same reduction is observed for four different locations.

Skin friction coefficient, \( C_f(x)=\tau_w/0.5\rho U_\infty \) (\( U_\infty = U_{in} \) in this case) is an important parameter give a sense about the behavior of field variables near the wall. It has observed higher skin friction coefficient in force applied cases compare to no-force applied cases (Fig. 4). This could be explained as; in MHD applied cases it has been observed more flat velocity profiles, since in order to keep uniform mass flow the axial velocity near the wall is getting increase in magnitude (Fig. 3). This increase causing a higher velocity gradients near the wall which also causes higher shear stress hence higher skin friction coefficient near wall (Fig. 4). The similar results found by Malekzadeh et al. (2011).

2.2 Part II: MHD in Heated Pipe Flow

In this part of the study it is implemented constant heat flux, \( q'' = 79,000 \text{ W/m}^2 \), applied externally as shown in Fig. 6, as a difference from the problem studied in the previous section. MHD effect and temperature interaction has been investigated and the results are compared to non-heated cases.

In Fig. 7 it is observed that the skin friction coefficient for heated pipe flow, has similar tendency with the non-heated case. However if one takes a close look at Fig. 8, which represents the axial velocity along the pipe on the symmetry axis, it is obviously notices that there exist a greater gradient in mechanism slowing the flow compare to heated case (Fig. 5). The difference between heated and non-heated cases getting higher for higher applied magnetic fields, \( B_{0} \). Considering all flow field variables under the application of various magnetic field strength it has been observed that before the flow field fully developed (\( x < 250 \text{ mm} \)) magnetic strength dominates field variables, after the fully developed section (\( x \geq 250 \text{ mm} \)) this domination is reduced dramatically.

It is also observed similar trend in Nusselt number, \( Nu = \frac{h d}{k} \), which represents the rate of heat transfer in upper wall of pipe given in Fig. 9 which results are parallel with the study of Malekzadeh et al. (2011); Li et al. (2005).

In Fig. 10 the temperature gradients, \( \frac{dT}{dy} \) are shown for four different locations. If these plots are analysed it is noticed that there exist a diminishing temperature gradient difference along the axial line of the pipe. It is also plotted the axial velocities for the same locations in Fig. 11. It is similar trend is observed in axial velocity along the pipe. It is note that the pipe flow here reaches a fully developed state starting from

---

Fig. 3. Axial velocity from the four different locations.

Fig. 4. Skin friction coefficient, \( C_f(x) \), from the wall of cylinder pipe.

Fig. 5. Axial velocity on symmetry axis for non-heated pipe cases.

Figure 5 represents the streamwise velocity change in streamwise direction at symmetry axis of the circular pipe. Clearly the centre of the flow field is effected strongly by the magnetohydrodynamic forces. Interestingly while for \( B = 0 \text{ T}, 0.5 \text{ T} \) and \( 1 \text{ T} \) cases there is an approximately linear change at velocity, for \( B = 1.25 \text{ T} \) case there is a sudden increase at rate of change of velocity decrease after the half length of the channel.
$x = 250 \, mm$ point of the pipe. Before that point MHD seems effective however not effective as non-heated cases (Figs. 11(a), 11(b) and Figs. 3(a), 3(b)). However in non-heated case, starting from fully developed location of the pipe, the very well-known results of MHD is observed as expected. These effects show themselves as a slowdown process on the flow field. The temperature gradient difference seems to be diminished as MHD effect along the pipe axis in non-heated case (Figs. 11(c), 11(d) and Figs. 3(c), 3(d)).

These results suggest that MHD affects temperature field and vice versa.

Before the fully developed section increasing magnetic field strength has a decreasing effect on the entropy generation. However after passing fully developed section, increasing magnetic field strength has no effect in the entropy generation (Fig. 12).

![Skin friction coefficient, $C_f(x)$, for heated pipe cases.](image)

![Axial velocity on symmetry axis for heated pipe cases.](image)

![The Nusselt number, $Nu$, which defines the rate of heat transfer, from the upper wall of the pipe.](image)

![The temperature gradient from the four different locations.](image)

![Streamwise velocity for heated cases from four different locations.](image)
two different MHD pipe flow cases have been investigated, first non-heated MHD pipe flow, secondly the heated case. The results are discussed with comparing the flow and temperature fields related to fully developed and non-fully developed sections are discussed.

It is obtained very well known retarded flow in former case (Fig. 3), but in latter case it is observed that MHD affects temperature and vice versa. It is noted that the velocity field reaches its fully developed case at $x = 250 \text{ mm}$. However there exist a MHD effect in heated cases, this effect lower than non-heated cases for the sections below $x = 250 \text{ mm}$, it seems temperature altered the MHD effect along the axial direction of the pipe. In the area close to the outlet of the pipe, the temperature differences between non MHD applied case and MHD applied cases are diminishing which is a result of magnetic field and temperature field interactions.

The temperature gradient, $dT/dy$ and entropy, are depicted for heated cases, as well (Figs. 10, 12). Before the fully developed section, increasing magnetic field strength has a decreasing effect on the temperature gradient. However after passing fully developed section, increasing magnetic field strength has no effect in the entropy generation (Fig. 12).

Similar results are observed for the temperature gradient, while the area below fully developed location, increasing magnetic field strength has an increase effect on the temperature gradient, these differences are slowly overcome toward the pipe outlet (Fig. 10).

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this study two different MHD pipe flow cases have been investigated, first non-heated MHD pipe flow, secondly the heated case. The results are discussed with comparing the flow and temperature fields related to fully developed and non-fully developed sections are discussed.

In this study two different MHD pipe flow cases have been investigated, first non-heated MHD pipe flow, secondly the heated case. The results are discussed with comparing the flow and temperature fields related to fully developed and non-fully developed sections are discussed.

It is obtained very well known retarded flow in former case (Fig. 3), but in latter case it is observed that MHD affects temperature and vice versa. It is noted that the velocity field reaches its fully developed case at $x = 250 \text{ mm}$. However there exist a MHD effect in heated cases, this effect lower than non-heated cases for the sections below $x = 250 \text{ mm}$, it seems temperature altered the MHD effect along the axial direction of the pipe. In the area close to the outlet of the pipe, the temperature differences between non MHD applied case and MHD applied cases are diminishing which is a result of magnetic field and temperature field interactions.

The temperature gradient, $dT/dy$ and entropy, are depicted for heated cases, as well (Figs. 10, 12). Before the fully developed section, increasing magnetic field strength has a decreasing effect on the entropy generation. However after passing fully developed section, increasing magnetic field strength has no effect in the entropy generation (Fig. 12). Similar results are observed for the temperature gradient, while the area below fully developed location, increasing magnetic field strength has an increase effect on the temperature gradient, these differences are slowly overcome toward the pipe outlet (Fig. 10).
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