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ABSTRACT

The present article examines the flow, heat and mass transfer of a non-Newtonian fluid known as Casson fluid over a stretching surface in the presence of thermal radiations effects. Lie Group analysis is used to reduce the governing partial differential equations into non-linear ordinary differential equations. These equations are then solved by an analytical technique known as Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM). A comprehensive study of the problem is being made for various parameters involving in the equations through tables and graphs.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The popularity of non-Newtonian fluids has been a dynamic area of research because of its applications. Examples of such fluids include coal-oil slurries, grease, paints, clay coating and suspensions, shampoo, cosmetic products, custard, animals bloods, body fluids and many others. After the initial work done by Crane (1970), a comprehensive and detail research has been made by many scientists and researchers on Newtonian and Non-Newtonian boundary layer flows over stretching surfaces. Gupta and Gupta (1977) studied heat and mass transfer effect over a permeable sheet stretching in its own plane. Grubka and Bobba (1985) used Kummer’s function (1965) to study the heat transfer phenomenon along a linearly stretching surface by assuming a power law temperature distribution. Takhar et al. (2000) examined the magnetohydrodynamic fluid flow and mass transfer on a stretching surface with chemically reactive species. Mehmood and Ali (2008) analyzed three dimensional viscous flow and heat transfer over a stretching surface. Bhargava et al. (2007) used finite element technique to study the pulsating flow of non-newtonian fluid known as Casson fluid in a non-Darcian porous medium. The unsteady boundary layer flow adjacent to permeable stretching surface in a porous medium was studied by Ali and Mehmood (2008). Flow and heat transfer on a stretching surface in a rotating fluid with a magnetic field analyzed by Takhar (2003). Butt et al. (2012) studied the effects of viscoelasticity on entropy generation in a flow through a porous medium over a stretching sheet. Beg et al. (2009) analyzed the free convection MHD flow, heat and mass transfer over a stretching surface through a saturated porous medium and also examined the Soret and Dufour effects during the flow phenomenon. A lot of work has been done on Non-Newtonion fluid flows under consideration of different geometries (Tufail et al. 2014; Butt et al. 2014; Hayat et al. 2014; Nadeem et al. 2014; Afify et al. 2014; Shehzad et al. 2014; Haq et al. 2015; Hamad et al. 2012; Boyd et al. 2007; Attia and Ahmed 2010; Khader and Megahed 2013). Arasu et al. (2011) used Lie theoretical analysis to study the thermal diffusion effects on free convection flow over a porous stretching sheet with variable
stream conditions. Lie symmetries are useful as they successfully reduce the number of independent variables of the problem. Reviews for the theory and applications of Lie group analysis to differential equations may be found in (Olver 1986 and Bluman and Kumei 1989). Yurusoy and Pakdemirli (1999) used group theoretical analysis to obtain the exact solution of second grade fluid over a stretching surface. Afify (2009) made use of Lie symmetries to study MHD(magnetohydrodynamics) aligned creep-dynamics. Later, Mehmood et al. (2005) used symmetry reduction to examine unsteady MHD aligned second grade flow and found the general solution. The aim of this article is to analyze flow, heat and mass transfer of Non-Newtonian fluid known as Casson fluid over a stretching surface embedded in a porous medium in the presence of a uniform magnetic field and thermal radiation effects. Lie group analysis is used to reduce the governing equations into non-linear ordinary differential equations. These equations are then solved using Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) and a complete analysis of the problem is presented. The effects of various physical parameters governing the equations are discussed and interpreted through tables and graphs.

2. Mathematical formulation of the problem

Consider a steady, two-dimensional laminar flow of an incompressible Casson fluid over a stretching surface through a porous medium. The sheet lies in the plane y = 0 with the flow being confined to y > 0. The coordinate x is being taken along the stretching surface and y is normal to the surface. Along the x-axis, two equal and opposite forces are applied, so that the surface is stretched, keeping the origin fixed. A uniform transverse magnetic field of strength \( B_0 \) is applied parallel to y-axis. It is also assumed that the fluid is electrically conducting and the magnetic Reynolds number is small so that the induced magnetic field is neglected. No electric field is assumed to exist. By following Nakamura and Sawada (1988) we use the biviscosity modified Casson rheological model. The rheological equation of state for an isotropic and incompressible flow of a Casson fluid is

\[
\tau_{ij} = \begin{cases} 
  2(\mu_B + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\nu}}) e_{ij}, & \pi > \pi_c \\
  2(\mu_B + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\nu}}) e_{ij}, & \pi < \pi_c 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \pi = e_{ij} e_{ij} \) is the product of the component of deformation rate with itself, \( e_{ij} \) is the \((i, j)th\) component of the deformation rate, \( \pi_c \) is a critical value of this product based on the non-Newtonian model, \( \mu_B \) is plastic dynamic viscosity of the non-Newtonian fluid and \( \pi_c \) is the yield stress of fluid. Using (1) and conservation of mass, momentum, heat transfer and mass transfer, the following boundary layer equations are obtained

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = \mu \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\nu}{\pi} \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = k \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + 16 \sigma T^3 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2}.
\]

The boundary conditions for the momentum and energy equation are [11];

\[
\bar{u}(x, y) = \frac{h x}{\sqrt{b_0}}, \quad \bar{v}(x, y) = \frac{v(x, y)}{\sqrt{b_0}} = 0 \text{ at } y = 0,
\]

\[
\bar{u}(x, y) = 0, \quad \text{ at } \ y \to \infty,
\]

\[
T(x, y) = T_w, \quad \text{at } y = 0,
\]

\[
T(x, y) = T_\infty, \quad \text{at } y \to \infty.
\]

where \( \mu \) is the constant viscosity, \( \rho \) is fluid density, \( \nu = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \) is kinematic viscosity, \( \beta = \frac{2 b_0}{\sqrt{\pi}} \) is Casson fluid parameter, \( \sigma \) is electrical conductivity of fluid, \( k' \) is permeability of medium, \( c_p \) is specific heat at constant pressure, \( k \) is thermal conductivity of the fluid, \( D \) is mass diffusivity, \( T \) and \( T_\infty \) are fluid and ambient temperatures respectively, \( T_w \) is wall temperature, \( C \) is concentration of fluid, \( C_w \) is species concentration at the surface, \( C_\infty \) is free stream concentration of the species, \( \bar{x}, \bar{v} \) are velocity components in \( x \)- and \( y \)- directions and \( b \) is stretching parameter. Introducing the following similarity transformations

\[
u(x, y) = \frac{\bar{u}(x, y)}{\sqrt{b_0}}, \quad v(x, y) = \frac{\bar{v}(x, y)}{\sqrt{b_0}},
\]

\[
\theta(x, y) = \frac{T(x, y) - T_w}{T_\infty - T_w}, \quad x = \sqrt{\frac{b}{\nu}} \bar{x},
\]

\[
\phi(x, y) = \frac{C(x, y) - C_w}{C_\infty - C_w}, \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{b}{\nu}} \bar{y}.
\]

Using the transformations (8–9) in Eqs. (2–7), we have

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = 0,
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial y} = \mu \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{\nu}{\pi} \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial x} + \bar{v} \frac{\partial \bar{T}}{\partial y} = k \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial y^2} + 16 \sigma \bar{T}^3 \frac{\partial^2 \bar{T}}{\partial y^2},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \bar{C}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \bar{\phi}}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 \bar{C}}{\partial y^2}.
\]
\[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = (1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - \frac{u}{K} \]  \quad (11)

\[ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Pr} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{3N\nu} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2}, \]  \quad (12)

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{Sc} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2}. \]  \quad (13)

\[ au(x,y) = x, v(x,y) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0, \]  \quad (14)

\[ u(x,y) = 0, \quad \text{at} \quad y \to \infty. \]  \quad (15)

where \( K = \frac{\mu \epsilon}{\sigma} \) is permeability parameter, \( M = \frac{\alpha \mu}{\sigma} \) is magnetic field parameter, \( Pr = \frac{\mu c_p}{k} \) is Prandtl number, \( N\nu = \frac{\alpha k}{Pr} \) is radiation parameter and \( Sc = \frac{\nu}{\sigma} \) is Schmidt number. Now by introducing stream function

\[ u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}. \]  \quad (16)

Eq. (10) will become identically zero and the system (11-15) takes the form

\[ \psi_{xy} - \psi_x \psi_{yy} + M \psi_y - (1 + \frac{1}{\beta}) \psi_{yxy} = 0, \]  \quad (17)

\[ \psi_{yx} \theta_x - \psi_x \theta_y = \frac{1}{Pr} \left( 1 + \frac{4}{3N\nu} \right) \theta_{xy} = 0, \]  \quad (18)

\[ \psi_y \phi_x - \psi_x \phi_y = \frac{1}{Sc} \phi_{xy} = 0. \]  \quad (19)

\[ \frac{\partial \psi(x,0)}{\partial y} = x, \quad \frac{\partial \psi(x,0)}{\partial x} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \psi(x,\infty)}{\partial y} = 0 \]  \quad (20)

\[ \theta(x,y) = 1, \quad \phi(x,y) = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0 \]  \quad (21)

\[ \theta(x,y) = 0, \quad \phi(x,y) = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad y \to \infty \]  \quad (22)

3. **Lie Group Analysis**

A symmetry of a differential equation is an invariable transformation of the dependent and independent variables that maps the equation to itself. Amongst symmetries of differential equations, those depending continuously on a small parameter and forming a local one-parameter group of transformation can be calculated algorithmically through a procedure due to Sophus Lie (1875). One of the most useful and striking properties of symmetries is that they map solutions to solutions. For partial differentials, symmetries allow the reduction of the number of independent variables. Consider the one-parameter Lie group of infinitesimal transformations in \((x,y,\psi, \theta, \phi)\) given by

\[ x^* = x + \epsilon \xi, \quad y^* = y + \epsilon \tau(x,y,\psi,\theta,\phi) + O(\epsilon^2), \]  

\[ \psi^* = \psi + \epsilon \Gamma(x,y,\psi,\theta,\phi) + O(\epsilon^2), \]  

\[ \theta^* = \theta + \epsilon \Omega(x,y,\psi,\theta,\phi) + O(\epsilon^2), \]  

\[ \phi^* = \phi + \epsilon \Phi(x,y,\psi,\theta,\phi) + O(\epsilon^2), \]  \quad (23)

where \( \epsilon \) is the Lie group parameter. Equations (17-19) are nonlinear partial differential equation with three dependent variables \((\psi, \theta, \phi)\) and two independent variables \((x,y)\). Lie group analysis is required so that Eqs. (17-19) remain invariants under these transformations which yields an over-determined, linear system of equations for infinitesimals \(\xi, \tau, \Gamma, \Omega, \Phi\). The symmetry group infinitesimal generator is defined by

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \psi + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \phi \]  

After following the procedure defined in (Olver 1989) to calculate the infinitesimals we have

\[ \xi = c_1 + c_2 x, \quad \tau = f_1(x), \]  

\[ \Gamma = c_3 + c_2 \psi, \quad \Omega = c_4 + c_5 \theta, \]  

\[ \Phi = c_6 + c_7 \phi. \]  \quad (25)

where \( c_i (i = 1, 2, ..., 7) \), are arbitrary constants and \( f_1(x) \) is arbitrary function of \( x \). After fixing the constants as \( c_1 = c_3 = c_4 = c_5 = c_6 = c_7 = f_1(x) = 0, c_2 = 1 \) we get

\[ \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{d\psi}{\psi} = \frac{d\theta}{\theta} = \frac{d\phi}{\phi}, \]  \quad (26)

\[ y = \eta, \quad \psi = x f(\eta), \quad \theta = \theta(\eta), \quad \phi = \phi(\eta). \]  \quad (27)

Here \( f, \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the functions of \( \eta \). After using above transformations the system (17 – 22) becomes
\[ (1 + \frac{1}{\beta})f''(\eta) + f(\eta)f''(\eta) - f'(\eta)^2 = -M + \frac{1}{K}f'(\eta) = 0, \quad (28) \]

\[ (1 + \frac{4}{3N_r})\theta''(\eta) + Pr f(\eta) \theta'(\eta) = 0, \quad (29) \]

\[ \phi''(\eta) + Sc f(\eta) \phi'(\eta) = 0. \quad (30) \]

\[ f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1, f'(\infty) = 0, \quad (31) \]

\[ \theta(0) = 1, \theta(\infty) = 0, \phi(0) = 1, \phi(\infty) = 0. \quad (32) \]

The skin friction coefficient, the local Nusselt number and the local Sherwood number are defined as:

\[ C_f = \frac{\left(\mu_0 + \int \frac{\rho_0 k_s^2}{\rho} dy \right)}{p(hx)^2} \frac{d \hat{u}}{d \eta} \bigg|_{\eta = 0}, \]

\[ Nu_s = \frac{\chi}{(T_w - T_0)} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial \eta} \right) \bigg|_{\eta = 0}, \]

\[ Sh_s = \frac{\chi}{(C_w - C_\infty)} \left( \frac{\partial C}{\partial \eta} \right) \bigg|_{\eta = 0}. \quad (33) \]

Using (8–9) and (33), the dimensionless form of skin friction, local Nusselt number and local Sherwood number become

\[ Re_s^{1/2}C_f = -(1 + \frac{1}{\beta})f''(0), \]

\[ Re_s^{1/2}Nu_s = -\theta'(0), \]

\[ Re_s^{1/2}Sh_s = -\phi'(0). \quad (34) \]

4. Solution of the Problem

In order to solve the non-linear Eqs. (28–30) with boundary conditions (31–32), an analytical technique known as Homotopy Analysis Method (HAM) is used. According to the nature of the problem, following set of initial guesses and auxiliary linear operators for \( f(\eta) \), \( \theta(\eta) \) and \( \phi(\eta) \) are used:

\[ f_0(\eta) = 1 - \exp(-\eta), \quad \theta_0(\eta) = \exp(-\eta), \]

\[ \phi_0(\eta) = \exp(-\eta). \quad (35) \]

\[ L_f = \frac{d^3f}{d\eta^3} + \frac{df}{d\eta} - \frac{d^2\theta}{d\eta^2} + \frac{d\theta}{d\eta}, \]

\[ L_\theta = \frac{d^3\phi}{d\eta^3} + \frac{d\phi}{d\eta}. \quad (36) \]

The zero-order deformation equations and boundary conditions are:

\[ (1 - p)L_f [\hat{f}(\eta; p) - f_0(\eta)] = p\beta \mathcal{N}_f [\hat{f}(\eta; p)], \]

\[ (1 - p)L_\theta [\hat{\theta}(\eta; p) - \theta_0(\eta)] = p\beta \mathcal{N}_\theta [\hat{\theta}(\eta; p)], \]

\[ (1 - p)L_\phi [\hat{\phi}(\eta; p) - \phi_0(\eta)] = p\beta \mathcal{N}_\phi [\hat{\phi}(\eta; p)]. \quad (37) \]

where \( h_f, h_\theta \) and \( h_\phi \) indicates the non-zero auxiliary parameters and \( p \in [0, 1] \) is the embedding parameter. The non-linear operators are

\[ \mathcal{N}_f [\hat{f}(\eta; p)] = (1 + \frac{4}{3N_r}) \frac{d^3\hat{f}(\eta; p)}{d\eta^3}, \]

\[ + \hat{f}(\eta; p) \frac{d^2\hat{f}(\eta; p)}{d\eta^2} - \left( \frac{d\hat{f}(\eta; p)}{d\eta} \right)^2, \]

\[ -(M + \frac{1}{K}) \frac{d \hat{\theta}(\eta; p)}{d\eta}, \]

\[ \mathcal{N}_\theta [\hat{\theta}(\eta; p), \hat{f}(\eta; p)] = (1 + \frac{4}{3N_r}) \frac{d^2\hat{\theta}(\eta; p)}{d\eta^2}, \]

\[ + Pr \hat{f}(\eta; p) \frac{d \hat{\theta}(\eta; p)}{d\eta}, \]

\[ + \frac{Sc \hat{f}(\eta; p)}{\eta} \frac{d \hat{\theta}(\eta; p)}{d\eta}. \quad (37) \]

The mth-order deformation equations are given as

\[ L_f [f_m(\eta) - \chi_m f_{m-1}(\eta)] = h_f \mathcal{N}_f^m (\eta), \quad (37) \]

\[ L_\theta [\theta_m(\eta) - \chi_m \theta_{m-1}(\eta)] = h_\theta \mathcal{N}_\theta^m (\eta), \quad (38) \]

\[ L_\phi [\phi_m(\eta) - \chi_m \phi_{m-1}(\eta)] = h_\phi \mathcal{N}_\phi^m (\eta). \quad (39) \]

where

\[ \mathcal{N}_f^m (\eta) = \frac{d^3f_{m-1}}{d\eta^3} - (M + \frac{1}{K}) \frac{d f_{m-1}}{d\eta}. \]
Parameters on velocity profile. In figure 2, the 

\[ f_m^\theta(\eta) = 1 + \frac{4}{3N_r} \theta_{m-1} + Pr \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f_{m-1-k} \theta_k, \]

and \( \phi_k \) in which \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) are convergent after 8th order of approximations. The range for the admissible values of \( h_f, h_0 \) and \( h_b \) in which the series solution converge, the so-called bar curves are plotted at the 20th order of approximation. The range for the admissible values of \( h_f, h_0 \) and \( h_b \) are \(-0.5 < h_f < -0.1, -0.5 < h_0 < -0.2 \) and \(-0.5 < h_b < -0.1 \) as shown in figure 1. To accelerate the convergence of the series solutions (51), the homotopy-Pade approximation is utilized, and the tabulated results for \( f''(0), \theta'(0) \) and \( \phi'(0) \) at \( h_f = -0.35, h_0 = -0.35, h_b = -0.45 \) are presented in table 1. It is quite evident that the value of \( f''(0) \) converge up to 6 decimal places after 6th order of approximation and the values of \( \theta'(0) \) and \( \phi'(0) \) are convergent after 8th order of approximation.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, the effects of various parameters on velocity, temperature, and concentration fields are presented through graphs and tables. Figures 1 – 3 depicts the effects of various parameters on velocity profile. In figure 2, the effects of Casson fluid parameter \( \dot{\beta} \) on velocity profile are illustrated. It is quite clear that a decrease in velocity occurs with increase in Casson fluid parameter. Figure 3 shows the influence of magnetic field parameter \( M \) on velocity profile \( f(\eta) \). It is observed that with an increase in the value of \( M \), the velocity decreases. This is due to the reason that the application of magnetic field to an electrically conducting fluid gives rise to resistive force known as Lorentz force which causes the fluid to decelerate. Figure 4 depicts that velocity increases on increasing \( K \) because as the permeability parameter increases, a decrease in the resistance of porous medium is observed which speeds up the flow.

The effects of various physical parameters on temperature profile \( \theta(\eta) \) are presented in figures 5 – 8. Figure 5 illustrates that the thermal boundary layer thickness increases with increase in Casson fluid parameter \( \dot{\beta} \). The effects
of magnetic field parameter $M$ on temperature profile are increasing as shown in figure 6. The influence of permeability parameter $K$ on $\theta(\eta)$ is depicted in figure 7. A decrease in temperature profile is noticed with increase in permeability parameter. Figure 8 illustrates that thermal boundary layer thickness decreases with increase in Prandtl number $Pr$. On the other hand, figure 9 demonstrates that thermal radiation parameter $Nr$ enhances fluid temperature.

In figure 10, the influence of Casson fluid parameter $\beta$ on concentration profile $\phi(\eta)$ are shown. An increase in concentration profile is observed with increase in $\beta$. The concentration boundary layer increases with magnetic field parameter $M$ and decreases with permeability parameter $K$ and Schmidt number $Sc$ as shown in figures 11, 12 and 13 respectively.
In order to validate our findings, we have tabulated a comparison of values of \(-f''(0)\) with those reported earlier \[18\] presented in Table 2. By keeping \(\beta = \infty\) and \(K = \infty\), we have found that the values obtained in this study are in good agreement with those reported earlier \[18\].

Table 3 presents the values of \(-(1 + \frac{1}{\beta^2})f''(0)\) for various values of Casson fluid parameter \(\beta\), Magnetic field parameter \(M\) and permeability parameter \(K\). The skin friction coefficient decreases with \(\beta\) and \(K\) and increases with \(M\). Table 4 shows that the local Nusselt number \(-\theta'(0)\) increases with permeability parameter \(K\) and Prandtl number \(Pr\) and decreases with Casson fluid parameter \(\beta\), magnetic field parameter \(M\) and radiation parameter \(Nr\). In Table 5 demonstrates the effects of pertinent parameters on local Sherwood number. It is seen that \(-\psi'(0)\) augments with permeability parameter and Schmidt number \(Sc\) and decreases with Cas-
Table 5 Effects of various parameters on $\phi'(0)$ when $h_f = 0.35, h_\phi = 0.45$ 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$K$</th>
<th>$Sc$</th>
<th>$\phi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.429091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.384405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.363575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.351450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.443042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.429092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.416431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.404862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.429092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.458545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.469915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.475961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.429092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.550535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.877907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.131431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSIONS

The boundary layer flow of a magnetohydrodynamic, Casson fluid in a porous medium over a stretching surface is studied. The symmetries of the partial differential equations are found and translational symmetries are used to reduce the equations to non-linear ordinary differential equations. Homotopy Analysis method is used to solve the equations. Following observations are found from the study:

- A decrease in momentum boundary layer thickness is observed with increase in Casson fluid parameter $\beta$, magnetic field parameter $M$, and permeability parameter $K$ and Prandtl number $Pr$ has increasing effects on local Nusselt number and it decreases with Casson fluid parameter $\beta$, magnetic field parameter $M$ and radiation parameter $Nr$.
- Local Sherwood number increase with $M$ and $Sc$ and decrease with $\beta$ and $M$.
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