Numerical Investigation of the Performance of Kenics Static Mixers for the Agitation of Shear Thinning Fluids

A. Mahammedi1,2, H. Ameur3† and A. Ariss1

1 Polytechnic National School of Oran (ENPO), 31000, Algeria
2 Mechanical Engineering Research Laboratory (LaRTFM), Polytechnic National School of Oran (ENPO) PB 1524, El-M’Naouar, Oran 31000, Algeria
3 Department of Technology, Institute of Science and Technology, Ahmed Salhi University Center of Naâma (Ctr Univ Naâma), PB 66, 45000, Algeria.

†Corresponding Author Email: houari_ameur@yahoo
(Received October 22, 2016; accepted December 31, 2016)

ABSTRACT

The laminar flow of non-Newtonian fluids through a Kenics static mixer is investigated by using the CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics) tool. The working fluids have a shear thinning behavior modeled by the Ostwald De Waele law. We focus on the effect of Reynolds number, fluid properties, twist angle and blade pitch on the flow characteristics and energy cost. The pressure drop information obtained from the simulations was compared to several experimental correlations and data available in the literature. The numerical results were found in good agreement with the experimental values. From the obtained results, the twist technique is confirmed to be very useful to enhance the mixing with less power consumption and at low Reynolds numbers. A faster axial mixing has been achieved with increased blade length and decreased twist angle. However, the good mixing near the tube walls was obtained with increased twist angle. The power consumption expressed in power drop was found to be increase with increased CMC concentrations, Reynolds number, twist angle and decreased blade length.
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NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>tube diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>fluid consistency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>length of the element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>length of the tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>flow index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>flow rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>mixer radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R'</td>
<td>dimensionless mixer radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{e} )</td>
<td>Reynolds number for a shear thinning fluid = (Eq. 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U^<em>, V^</em>, W^* )</td>
<td>dimensionless velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U, V, W )</td>
<td>axial, radial, tangential velocity, respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>angle of twist blade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta P )</td>
<td>pressure drop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta P_{EM} )</td>
<td>pressure drop for empty tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta P_{SM} )</td>
<td>pressure drop for static mixer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \mu )</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity of fluid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \rho )</td>
<td>density of fluid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. INTRODUCTION

In nearly all industrial chemical processes, like as homogenization, gas dispersion, crystallization and polymerization, the mixing plays an important role on the final product quality. Inefficient mixing results in a lower product quality with increased production cost. Therefore, determining the mixing characteristics is extremely important, especially for highly viscous non-Newtonian fluids, where the chance of the presence of poorly or isolated mixed zones is high. Until present, many researchers
continue to investigate the efficiency of different mixing systems (Ameur, 2015, 2016a; Khapre and Munshi, 2015; Kazemzadeh et al., 2016).

Kenics static mixers, also known as motionless mixers (Thakur et al., 2003), are widely encountered in many industries, such as the, petroleum, pharmaceutical, paint and food industries for achieving a wide range of operations such as power generation, chemical reaction, refining, air-conditioning and gas treatment (Bi et al., 2015; Li et al., 2011; Kroon and Hartmann, 2008).

However, instead of realizing many operations in stirred tanks, it is more interesting to achieve mixing in feed lines by static mixers, because reduced equipment cost and space are required. Another interesting advantage is the power consumption, the required power comes directly from the pump which drives the inline flow and no extra motor drive is needed. Also, static mixers are preferred for their good mixing quality at low shear rates, low equipment cost, small space requirement, sharp residence time distributions, self-cleaning capability and high interfacial area production (Thakur et al., 2003; Etchells and Meyer, 2004; Ghanem et al., 2014). Static mixers are also good alternatives for processing aggressive and corrosive media, and for high pressure operations, where shaft feed troughs are expensive (Rabha et al., 2015). Static mixers are also used to improve the mass transfer rates from gas to liquid (Goto and Gaspillo, 1992; Heyouni et al., 2002; Martin and Galey, 1994; Munter, 2010).

Among all kinds of static mixers, the Kenics mixers designed by Sulzer Ltd, are widely used in the mixing of high viscous fluids and fluids with extremely diverse viscosity especially in polymer processing. The design of the mixer consists of a cylindrical pipe equipped with a number of helical blade elements. Each blade is positioned perpendicular to the preceding one, is twisted to the right or left by a degree of 180° twist. The mixing is ensured by stretching and reorientation of fluid during its passage through the blade: the twist and perpendicular cut delivers a sequence of folding and stacking (Rafiee et al., 2013).

Meng et al. (2014) studied numerically the mixing performance of static mixers with multi-twisted leaves like Kenics mixer. Meijer et al. (2012) achieved a quantitative comparison of static mixers. Zhang et al. (2015) combined Kenics and SMX mixers for mixing polyacrylamide solutions, and they found that this combination has a good performance. Olmiccia et al. (2011) studied the residence time distribution in a Kenics static mixer. Sharp residence time distributions, self-cleaning capability and high interfacial area production (Thakur et al., 2003; Etchells and Meyer, 2004; Ghanem et al., 2014). Static mixers are also good alternatives for processing aggressive and corrosive media, and for high pressure operations, where shaft feed troughs are expensive (Rabha et al., 2015). Static mixers are also used to improve the mass transfer rates from gas to liquid (Goto and Gaspillo, 1992; Heyouni et al., 2002; Martin and Galey, 1994; Munter, 2010).

Among all kinds of static mixers, the Kenics mixers designed by Sulzer Ltd, are widely used in the mixing of high viscous fluids and fluids with extremely diverse viscosity especially in polymer processing. The design of the mixer consists of a cylindrical pipe equipped with a number of helical blade elements. Each blade is positioned perpendicular to the preceding one, is twisted to the right or left by a degree of 180° twist. The mixing is ensured by stretching and reorientation of fluid during its passage through the blade: the twist and perpendicular cut delivers a sequence of folding and stacking (Rafiee et al., 2013).

Meng et al. (2014) studied numerically the mixing performance of static mixers with multi-twisted leaves like Kenics mixer. Meijer et al. (2012) achieved a quantitative comparison of static mixers. Zhang et al. (2015) combined Kenics and SMX mixers for mixing polyacrylamide solutions, and they found that this combination has a good performance. Olmiccia et al. (2011) studied the residence time distribution in a Kenics static mixer. Sharp residence time distributions, self-cleaning capability and high interfacial area production (Thakur et al., 2003; Etchells and Meyer, 2004; Ghanem et al., 2014). Static mixers are also good alternatives for processing aggressive and corrosive media, and for high pressure operations, where shaft feed troughs are expensive (Rabha et al., 2015). Static mixers are also used to improve the mass transfer rates from gas to liquid (Goto and Gaspillo, 1992; Heyouni et al., 2002; Martin and Galey, 1994; Munter, 2010).

Geometry of the model simulated (Fig. 1) is based on the Kenics KM static mixer manufactured by Chemineer (Dayton, OH). It consists of a tube with a diameter $D = 0.01$ m and a length $L = 0.12$ m. Each element has a thickness $t = 1$ mm. The first and the final helical blade elements are placed at the same distance $l_s = 0.015$ m from the tube inlet and the tube outlet, respectively. The effect of blade twist ($\alpha$) is investigated and three geometrical configurations are realized for this purpose, which are: $\alpha = 30^\circ$, $60^\circ$ and $90^\circ$. The effect of blade length ($l$) is also explored by creating three other geometries, which are $l^* = l/L = 0.10, 0.15$ and 0.20. The fluid simulated (Carboxy Methyl Cellulose (CMC)) has a shear thinning behaviour. According to measures achieved by Gopal et al. (2015), the rheological properties of CMC solutions employed in the present study are summarized in Table 1.

![Table 1 Rheological properties of the working fluid](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution No.</th>
<th>Concentration C (g CMC/g)</th>
<th>$K$ (Pa s$^n$)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Mathematical Equations**

The governing equations of mass and momentum conservation used to solve the incompressible and isothermal flow problems are given as:

\[ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \]  
(1)

\[ \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (p \mu u) = - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \tau \]  
(2)

where \( u \) is the velocity vector, \( \rho \) is the fluid density, \( \tau \) is the stress tensor and \( p \) is the pressure.

In the present work, the stress tensor for the non-Newtonian fluid tested was described by the Ostwald–De Waele relationship:

\[ \tau = k \gamma^n \]  
(3)

Where \( \gamma \) is the shear rate

We recall that for a Newtonian fluid flow in a pipe, the Reynolds number is defined by:

\[ Re = \frac{\rho D u}{\mu} \]  
(4)

Where \( D \) is the cylindrical pipe diameter and \( \mu \) is the dynamic viscosity.

In the case of non-Newtonian fluid flows, the apparent viscosity for the so-called power-law fluid is given by:

\[ \mu = k \gamma^{-n} \]  
(5)

The generalized Reynolds number \((Re_g)\) for a shear thinning fluid (Ostwald model) is defined as:

\[ Re_g = \frac{2\rho u^2 \gamma^n}{k} \]  
(6)

Or, according to Rudman et al. (2004):

\[ Re_g = \frac{\rho u^2 \gamma^n}{k \left( \frac{6n+2}{8} \right)^n} \]  
(7)

where \( k \) is the consistency index and \( n \) is the power law index. We define the following dimensionless variables:

\[ X^* = X/L \]  
(8)

where \( X^* \) is the dimensionless distance from the inlet. The flow regime was laminar (the Reynolds number \((Re_g)\) is ranged between 0.1 and 150). The process was considered stationary and isothermal.
Calculations were performed on a machine with a 2.20 GHz Pentium(R) i7 Core CPU with 12.0 GB of RAM. Simulations were considered to be converged when the residual target of mean velocities and pressure drop below $10^{-7}$. Convergence was obtained after about 800-1000 iterations and about 4-5 h.

### Table 2: Mesh tests performed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of grids</td>
<td>325,569</td>
<td>544,817</td>
<td>856,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure drop [Pa]</td>
<td>8.7161·10^4</td>
<td>8.7167·10^4</td>
<td>8.7169·10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU time [second]</td>
<td>15,589</td>
<td>25,847</td>
<td>33,512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Pressure drop vs. Reynolds number.

5. **VALIDATION**

Values of the pressure drop obtained via numerical simulations were compared with the values calculated by using known experimental correlations. The majority of these correlations are written in terms of a $Z$-factor and Reynolds number, as:

$$Z = \frac{(AP)_{\text{ex}}}{(AP)_{\text{ep}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $(AP)_{\text{ex}}$ is the pressure drop in the empty pipe and $(AP)_{\text{ex}}$ is the pressure drop along the static mixer. The pressure drop per unit length without a static mixer is obtained by solving the Stokes equations:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta P}{\rho}\right)_{\text{ep}} = \frac{32}{Re^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Wilkinson and Cliff (1977) have proposed a correlation for the pressure drop in Kenics mixers:

$$Z = 7.19 + \frac{32}{Re}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

and Grace (1971) has suggested another correlation:

$$Z = 3.24(1.5 + 0.21\sqrt{Re})$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

For the laminar flow, the pressure drop obtained from CFD simulations was compared to several experimental correlations available in the literature (Figs. 3a, 3b). Another validation with the experimental data of Xu et al. (1997) is made and presented in Fig. 3c). As remarked on the three figures, a good agreement is obtained.

6. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

6.1. **Flow fields and Pressure Distribution**

In the first part of our investigation, we present the distribution of flow fields and pressure in different locations of the mixer (Figs. 4, 5 and 6). We note that the results presented in this section are obtained for a Kenics mixer with six elements, the length of each element ($l^* = l/L$) is equal to 0.1 and each element is twisted by an angle $\alpha = 90^\circ$.

For a radial position $R^* = 2R/D = 0.12$, the axial and radial velocities are presented along the mixer length (Fig. 4). First, we remark that both velocity...
components increase continually until they reach their maximum at the middle of the length element, then they decrease until their minimum values at the intersection of two neighbour elements. Next, the same velocity profile will be repeated for all mixer elements. Also, it should be mentioned that the axial velocity component is the dominant (compared to the radial component).

Since the flow is periodic, we have chosen one element (the third one) for the presentation of streamlines (Fig. 5) and pressure distribution (Fig. 6). In Fig. 5, $X^*$ is the dimensionless axial position ($X/L$). We note that the axial coordinate ($X^*$) of the third element is limited between 0.45 and 0.65.

In Fig. 5, we remark that the twist shape of element creates more chaotic advection of fluid particles and a vortex is formed in the space between the element and pipe wall. This vortex increases in size with the increase of twist angle (i.e. when we advance with the element length). Furthermore, another vortex is formed at each side of the element for a position very close to the next element (i.e. close to the point of intersection of two neighbour elements). This is due to the sharp change in geometry, since the angle between the end of each element and the beginning of the next one is 90°. The two factors: twist of element and the sharp change of angle between elements are responsible for intense movement of fluid particles and the good mixing.

Figure 6 shows the pressure at the 3rd element surface. A high pressure region is found where the high speed core coming from the previous element make a hit on the blade. The low pressure region is found where the fluid leaves the element.
6.2. Effect of Reynolds Number

In a simple tube and at low values of Reynolds number, trajectories of fluid particles are parallel to the pipe wall, the pressure per unit length is low and the mixing in a cross section is poor compared to the turbulent regime. With the increase of Reynolds number, the fluid flows are more intensified and the mixing in a cross section will be enhanced, but an additional penalty in pressure drop. An efficient solution for this issue is the use of static mixers, which are twisted elements inserted inside the pipe to cut, twist, fold and re-combine fluid particles (Hobbs and Muzzio, 1998). This design may create under laminar flow conditions a chaotic advection as in turbulent flows (Hobbs and Muzzio, 1997; Saatdjian et al., 2012).

A comparison of velocity contours for two different values of Reynolds number in the Kenics mixer reveals clear the impact of Reynolds number (Fig. 7).

The flow in a six-element static mixer has been analysed via dimensionless velocities (axial, radial and tangential velocity) vs. mixer radius. We remark that the axial velocity increases when Reynolds number increases. In reverse, values of the dimensionless velocity components adjacent to the tube wall are smaller with the higher Reynolds number (Fig. 8). The minus sign of radial and tangential velocities (Fig. 8b, 8c, respectively) indicate the existence of reverse flows. The increase of $Re_g$ yields an increase in vortices.

Figure 9 shows the velocity streamlines for different Reynolds numbers ($Re_g = 10, 50$ and 150). For the deep laminar regime ($Re_g = 10$), no vortices are formed near the side of element. However and for higher $Re_g$ ($Re_g = 50$), both primary and secondary vortices are observed clearly on both sides of the Kenics element. These vortices are more toroidal with increased $Re_g$. Also, the small vortex formed in the space between the element and the pipe wall at $Re_g = 50$ is increased when $Re_g = 150$. 

![Fig. 7. Dimensionless velocity contours for Solution No. 1, $l^* = 0.10, \alpha = 90^\circ$.](image)

![Fig. 8. Dimensionless velocities vs. mixer radius for Solution No. 1, $X^* = 0.59, l^* = 0.10, \alpha = 90^\circ$ (a) axial velocity, (b) radial velocity, (c) tangential velocity.](image)
As resumed in this section, the increase of flow rate (i.e. the Reynolds number) is advantageous to intensify the fluid movements and to enhance the mixing. However, what about the energy consumption? This issue is investigated in the following sections.

6.3. Effect of Fluid Rheology

Figs. 10, 11 and 12 show that the flow contours and the axial velocity distribution are relatively influenced by of the concentration level. Dilute CMC solutions leads to a very well mixed region. The well-mixed region presents the area where the interaction between fluid particles is intense, i.e. the region with high velocity magnitudes.

The pressure drop across the static mixer is affected by the concentration level. The pressure drop increases significantly with increasing concentration level (Fig. 13). This figure shows also that the pressure drop rises as Reynolds number increases.

6.4. Effect of the Pitch Ratio

The blade design plays an important role on the power consumption and overall mixing characteristics. Here, we explore the effect of blade length expressed as the pitch ratio \( l' = l/L \). The faster flows are obtained with the great values of the pitch ratio (Fig. 14).

The comparison of pressure drops across the helical static mixer using three different values of the blade length ratio \( l' = 0.1, 0.15 \) and \( 0.20 \) shows a significant increase in the pressure drop as Reynolds number increases and the blade length decreases (Fig. 15). This is due to the rise in the number of elements.
6.5. Effect of the Twist Angle

For a tube equipped with six elements ($l^* = 0.1$) and for three different values of the twist angle ($\alpha = 30^\circ$, $60^\circ$ and $90^\circ$), the velocity distribution is presented under various forms (a horizontal plane in Fig. 16 and a vertical plane in Fig. 17). The axial velocity profile develops rapidly into a parabolic profile (Fig. 18a) and the higher values are observed in the tube centre (Figs. 16, 17, 18a). The speed cores of the flow decrease with the augmentation in the twist angle from $0^\circ$ to $60^\circ$ (Figs. 16, 17).

The increase of twist angle generates an increase in the radial and tangential velocities and a decrease in the axial velocity. This is explained by the formation of vortex on both sides of blade for only the case $\alpha = 90^\circ$. The presence of such vortices requires an additional power in terms of pressure drop (as observed in Fig. 19): the pressure drop augments with the augmentation of the twist angle from $0^\circ$ to $60^\circ$ over the range of Reynolds number ($1 \leq Re_g \leq 100$).

7. Conclusion

Using the numerical method described above, the flow and the dependence of mixing in a six-element static mixer has been analysed for a number of different flow conditions, fluid properties and geometrical parameters (twist angle, blade length) were investigated. Also the pressure drop was determined for all cases studied.

The velocity flow fields are likely to be quite different with different geometries. The CMC solutions, depending on their concentrations, revealed properties classic for shear thinning fluids. The comparison of velocity fields revealed clear differences in the Kenics flows that the Reynolds number and concentration level of the non-Newtonian solution have a major impact on the performance of the helical static mixer.

The concluding remarks may be summarized as follows:

- The increased Reynolds number may intensify the chaotic advection of fluid particles and enhance mixing but with an additional consumption in power which is yielded by the high pressure drop.
- Faster flows may be obtained with increased blade length.
- The increase of twist angle generates an increase in the radial and tangential velocities and a decrease in the axial velocity.
- The faster axial mixing is achieved with the decrease of twist angle.
- The best mixing near the tube walls is obtained when vortices are formed on both sides of the blade, i.e. in the case of the twist angle $\alpha = 90^\circ$.
- The pressure drop increases with the increase of concentrations of CMC solutions, $Re_g$, twist element and the decrease of blade length.
Fig. 17. Streamlines for $Re_t = 100$, Solution No. 1, $\ell^* = 0.10$, $X^* = 0.59$

(a) axial velocity, (b) radial velocity, (c) tangential velocity.

Fig. 19. Pressure drop for different twist angles, Solution No. 1, $\ell^* = 0.10$.
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