

# **Unsteady Hydromagnetic Flow past a Moving Vertical Plate with Convective Surface Boundary Condition**

G. S. Seth<sup>1†</sup>, S. Sarkar<sup>2</sup> and A. J. Chamkha<sup>3</sup>

*Department of Applied Mathematics, Indian School of Mines, Dhanbad 826004, India School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology, Bhubaneswar 751013, India Mechanical Engineering Department, Prince Mohammad Bin Fahd University, Al-Khobar 31952, Saudi Arabia*

†*Corresponding Author Email:* gsseth\_ism@yahoo.com

(Received July 3, 2015; accepted August 2, 2015)

### **ABSTRACT**

Investigation of unsteady MHD natural convection flow through a fluid-saturated porous medium of a viscous, incompressible, electrically-conducting and optically-thin radiating fluid past an impulsively moving semi-infinite vertical plate with convective surface boundary condition is carried out. With the aim to replicate practical situations, the heat transfer and thermal expansion coefficients are chosen to be constant and a new set of non-dimensional quantities and parameters are introduced to represent the governing equations along with initial and boundary conditions in dimensionless form. Solution of the initial boundary value problem (IBVP) is obtained by an efficient implicit finite-difference scheme of the Crank-Nicolson type which is one of the most popular schemes to solve IBVPs. The numerical values of fluid velocity and fluid temperature are depicted graphically whereas those of the shear stress at the wall, wall temperature and the wall heat transfer are presented in tabular form for various values of the pertinent flow parameters. A comparison with previously published papers is made for validation of the numerical code and the results are found to be in good agreement. **Example 18**<br>
where the dially-thin radiating fluid past an impulsively moving<br>
analy-thin radiating fluid past an impulsively moving<br>
undary conditions in carried out. With the aim to<br>
methranic correct the governing<br>
im

**Keywords**: Unsteady MHD natural convection flow; Convective surface boundary condition; Porous medium; Optically thin fluid; Non-similar solution.

# **NOMENCLATURE**



# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Generally, in all the problems of fluid dynamics, unsteady flow is a natural phenomenon and steady state models are just simplifications of the real situation. Therefore, the investigation of unsteady

Magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flows is significant from practical point of view because fluid transients may be expected at the start-up time of many industrial processes and devices viz. electromagnetic stirring of molten metal, forging, casting and levitation processes, MHD energy generators, MHD pumps, MHD accelerators, MHD flow-meters, controlled thermonuclear reactors, etc. Keeping in mind the importance of such studies, considerable amount of investigations are carried out by a number of researchers on unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow past a flat plate through fluid saturated porous medium considering various aspects of the problem. A reference may be made to the research studies of Raptis (1986), Jha (1991), Chamkha (1997), Chamkha and Ahmed (2011), Eldabe *et al.* (2012), Samiulhaq *et al.* (2013), Das *et al.* (2014), Ghosh *et al*. (2015) and Seth *et al*. (2015a, b).

Nowadays hydromagnetic natural convection flows considering radiative heat transfer is a topic of much significance because thermal radiation plays an important role in the design of nuclear power plants, gas turbines, flight propulsion systems, automobile engines, high temperature heat exchangers and combustion chambers, which operate at elevated temperatures, in order to gain thermal efficiency (Howell *et al*., 2010). Besides, in several industrial processes viz. formation and tempering of glass, steel rolling, extraction of metals, semiconductor wafer processing and growth of crystals, the quality of the final product depends to a great extent on the heat controlling factors, and the knowledge of radiative heat transfer in the system may likely lead to a desired product with sought qualities. England and Emery (1969) were one of the premier investigators to study the effects of thermal radiation of an optically thin gray gas on the laminar free convection flow past a stationary vertical plate. Bestman and Adjepong (1988) investigated unsteady hydromagnetic free convection flow with radiative heat transfer of an optically thin fluid in a rotating system. Chamkha *et al*. (2001) considered laminar free convection flow of air past a semi-infinite vertical plate in the presence of chemical species concentration and thermal radiation using the optically thin limit for a gray-gas near equilibrium. Raptis *et al*. (2003) studied the effects of thermal radiation on hydromagnetic free convection flow of an optically thin fluid past an infinite vertical plate. Raptis (2011) investigated oscillatory natural convection heat and mass transfer flow past a porous plate in the presence of radiation for an optically thin fluid. Seth *et al*. (2014) investigated unsteady MHD natural convection flow with heat and mass transfer of an optically thin fluid past an impulsively moving vertical plate in the presence of radiation and chemical reaction.

It is well known that the heat transfer characteristics of natural convection boundary layer flows are strongly dependent on the thermal boundary conditions. Most common heating processes specifying the wall-to-ambient temperature distributions are, usually, prescribed surface temperature distributions or prescribed surface heat flux distributions. Therefore, considerable amount of research works pertaining to these flows are available in the literature (Bejan, 1993; Gebhart *et al.* 1998) considering wide range of wall conditions and various fluid properties. However, there exists a class of thermal boundary conditions in which the surface heat flux depends on the local surface

temperature. Usually, this situation arises in conjugate heat transfer problems when there is an interaction between the convective fluid and conduction through the bounding wall (Merkin and Pop, 1996), and, when there is Newtonian heating of the convecting fluid from the surface i.e. conjugate convective flow (Merkin, 1994). Another configuration, often arising in practical systems, is convective heat transfer for the Blasius flow with convective surface boundary condition, which is primarily investigated by Aziz (2009). It may be noted that conjugate/convective thermal boundary conditions are known to appear in numerous instances of the problems in science and engineering viz. optimization of turbine blade cooling systems (Nowak and Wróblewski, 2011), design of efficient heat exchangers (Zhang, 2013), combustion in gas turbines (Lefebvre, 1998), convective flows set up where the bounding surfaces absorb heat by solar radiation (seasonal thermal energy storage systems), etc. Therefore, a promising sense of applicability and the classic paper by Aziz (2009) prompted several researchers to investigate boundary layer flows with convective surface boundary condition considering various aspects of the problem. Mention may be made of the research studies of Ishak (2010), Khan and Gorla (2010), Makinde and Aziz (2010, 2011), Rahman (2011), Magyari (2011), Butt *et al*. (2012), Ferdows *et al*. (2013), Lok *et al*. (2013). However, in all the research works pertaining to convective surface boundary condition as mentioned above, the convective heat transfer coefficient associated with the hot surface is assumed to be a function of *x* (where *x* measures distance from the leading edge) so that the problems accept similarity solution. But the assumption of heat transfer coefficient to be a function on  $x$  implies that heat transfer coefficient varies along the plate surface which is unrealistic. In this regard, Merkin and Pop (2011) presented a non-similar solution of the Blasius flow with convective heat transfer by considering heat transfer coefficient to be a constant which is well-suited for real fluids. Merkin *et al*. (2013) investigated mixed convection boundary layer flow past a vertical surface in a porous medium with a constant convective boundary condition. Pantokratoras (2014) extended of the work of Merkin *et al*. (2013) to a Darcy–Brinkman porous medium.

Although there have been a lot of investigations pertaining to convective heat transfer problems, yet, so far no researcher has reported the study of unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a radiating fluid past an impulsively moving vertical plate with convective heating assuming constant heat transfer coefficient and constant thermal expansion coefficient: a problem which is of utmost importance from practical point of view. It may be noted that the governing equations for natural convection fluid flow problems are based on Boussinesq approximations. It is well known that the Boussinesq approximation is based on the assumption that fluid thermal expansion coefficient is constant and is equal to that of ambient fluid (Bejan, 1993; Schlichting and Gersten, 2000). Therefore, in view of the above, we propose to investigate unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting and optically thin radiating fluid past an impulsively moving semi-infinite vertical plate with convective heating embedded in a fluid saturated porous medium. Non-similar solution to the initial boundary value problem (IBVP) is obtained using an implicit finite difference scheme of Crank-Nicolson type (Bapuji *et al*., 2008) which is a very popular scheme due to its stability and consistency.

#### **2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM**

Consider unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of an electrically conducting, viscous, incompressible and optically thin radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate embedded in a fluid saturated porous medium. Coordinate system is chosen in such a way that  $x'$ - axis is considered along the plate in upward direction and *y* - axis normal to plane of the plate in the fluid. A uniform transverse magnetic field  $B_0$  is applied in a  $l \ge 0$ direction which is parallel to *y* - axis. Initially i.e. at time  $t' \leq 0$ , both the fluid and plate are at rest and are maintained at a uniform temperature  $T_{\infty}$ . At  $v = 0, -\kappa \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = n_f (1)$ time  $t' > 0$ , plate starts moving in  $x'$ - direction with uniform velocity  $U_0$  in its own plane and the right  $\frac{1}{2}$ hand surface of the plate is heated by convection from a hot fluid with uniform temperature  $T_f' = u', v', \hat{i}, \dots, \hat{t}, g, S', T', c_s, k, q'$  and  $K'_a$  are, **2. FORMULATION OF THE**<br>**PROBLEM**<br>**Consider** unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of an electrically conducting, viscous, incompressible and optically thin radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate emb **2. FORMULATION OF THE**  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial y'^2}$ <br> **PROBLEM**<br>
maider unsteady hydromagnetic natural  $-\frac{1B_0^2}{6}u' - \frac{u'}{K_p} + gS'(T'-T_w)$ <br>
overestion flow of an electrically conducting,<br>
cous coefficient  $h_f$ . Physical model of the problem is presented in Fig. 1. No applied or polarized voltages exist so the effect of polarization of fluid is negligible. This corresponds to the case where no energy is added or extracted from the fluid by electrical means (Cramer and Pai, 1973). It is assumed that the induced magnetic field generated by fluid motion is negligible in comparison to the applied one. This assumption is justified because magnetic Reynolds number is very small for liquid metals and partially ionized fluids which are  $a_n$ commonly used in industrial applications (Cramer and Pai, 1973).



**Fig. 1. Physical model of the problem.**

Keeping in view the assumptions made above, the mathematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting and optically thin radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate with convective heating in a fluid saturated porous medium, under Boussinesq approximation, is given by 16.<br>
16.<br>
eeping in view the assumptions made above<br>
tathematical model for unsteady hydromag<br>
tathematical convection flow of a vision-<br>
compressible, electrically conducting and optin<br>
in radiating fluid past a semi-inf 106.<br> *Xeeping* in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
mathematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
natural convection flow of a viscous,<br>
natural convection flow of a viscous,<br>
hin radiating fluid past a semi-infinit 016.<br>
Reeping in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
nanchematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
natural convection flow of a viscous,<br>
necompressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>
thin radiating fluid pas *p u u* **Example 10** assumptions made above, the<br>
for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
1 flow of a viscous,<br>
prically conducting and optically<br>
ast a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>
ing in a fluid saturated porous<br>
sinesq approximation, is in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
ical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
convection flow of a viscous,<br>
ssible, electrically conducting and optically<br>
ting fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>
vective heating ing in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
matical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
ul convection flow of a viscous,<br>
ppressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>
diating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate 10.<br>
Meeping in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
mathematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
natural convection flow of a viscous,<br>
necompressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>
thin radiating fluid past in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
thematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
tran convection flow of a viscous,<br>
radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>
radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical in view the assumptions made above, the<br>titical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>convection flow of a viscous,<br>essible, electrically conducting and optically<br>dating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>vective heatin 2 1 *r p p u T T T k T q* 6.<br> **6.**<br> **towards** in view the assumptions made above, the<br> **thermaical model for unsteady hydromagnetic**<br> **transl** convection flow of a viscous,<br> **to relate the convergent of the convertion of the convertible property<br>** 10.16.<br>
(seeping in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
manthematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
natural convertions for the unsteady conducting and optically<br>
hin radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical pl **Example 11** is the assumptions made above, the<br>
mathematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
natural convection flow of a viscous,<br>
necompressible, electrically conducting and opicially<br>
thin radiating fluid past a sem iceping in view the assumptions made above, the<br>
nathematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic<br>
attual convection flow of a viscous,<br>
throughcare in the convertion flow of a viscous,<br>
in radiating fluid past a semi-infini ral convection flow of<br>
mpressible, electrically conducting<br>
radiating fluid past a semi-infinite<br>
convective heating in a fluid satu<br>
um, under Boussinesq approximat<br>  $+\frac{\partial v'}{\partial y'} = 0$ ,<br>  $+u'\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + v'\frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\$ Internal convection flow of a viscous,<br>
incompressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>
thin radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>
with convective heating in a fluid saturated porous<br>
by<br>  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'}$ and<br>hematical model for unsteady hydromagnetic and<br>national convection flow of a viscous,<br>nocompressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>in indiating fluid past a semi-infinite verical plate<br>with convective heating compressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>compressible, electrically conducting and optically<br>in radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate<br>edium, under Boussinesq approximation, is given<br>edium, under B

$$
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y'} = 0,\tag{1}
$$

Hint radiating fluid past a semi-infinite vertical plate with convective heating in a fluid saturated porous medium, under Boussinesq approximation, is given by

\n
$$
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y'} = 0,
$$
 (1)

\n
$$
\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = \frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial y'^2}
$$
 (2)

\n
$$
-\frac{tB_0^2}{m}u' - \frac{u'}{K_p'} + g s'(T' - T'_\n)
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial q'_r}{\partial y'}
$$
 (3)

\nsubject to following initial and boundary conditions

\n
$$
t' \leq 0 : u' = v' = 0, T' = T'_\n \text{ for } y' \geq 0,
$$
 (4a)

\n
$$
t' > 0 : u' = U_0,
$$

\n
$$
v' = 0, -k \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = h_f (T'_f - T') \text{ at } y' = 0
$$
 (4b)

\n
$$
u' \rightarrow 0, T' \rightarrow T'_\n \text{ as } y' \rightarrow \infty
$$
 (4c)

\nwhere

\n
$$
u', v', \hat{} , \hat{} , \hat{} , \hat{} , f', c_p, k, q'_r \text{ and } K'_p \text{ are, respectively, fluid velocity in } x' \text{-direction, fluid velocity in } y' \text{-direction, kinetic coefficient of viscosity, fluid density, electrical conductivity,}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{m} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{1}{m} \frac{\partial q'}{\partial y'} \frac{\partial q'}{\partial y'} \tag{3}
$$

subject to following initial and boundary conditions

$$
t' \le 0
$$
 :  $u' = v' = 0$ ,  $T' = T'_\infty$  for  $y' \ge 0$ , (4a)

$$
t' > 0: u' = U_0,
$$
  

$$
v' = 0, -k \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = h_f (T_f' - T') \text{ at } y' = 0
$$
 (4b)

$$
u' \to 0, T' \to T'_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y' \to \infty \tag{4c}
$$

where

by<br>  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial x'} + \frac{\partial v'}{\partial y'} = 0$ , (1)<br>  $\frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial t'} + v' \frac{\partial u'}{\partial y'} = -\frac{\partial^2 u'}{\partial y'^2}$ <br>  $-\frac{\dagger B_0^2}{2t'}u' - \frac{u'}{K_p} + g S'(T'-T'_\n)$ <br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c c_p} \frac{\partial^2 T'}{\partial y'^2} - \frac{1}{c c_p} \frac{\partial q'}{\partial y'}$  (3)<br> respectively, fluid velocity in *x* -direction, fluid velocity in *y* -direction, kinematic coefficient of viscosity, fluid density, electrical conductivity, acceleration due to gravity, thermal expansion coefficient, fluid temperature, specific heat at constant pressure, thermal conductivity of fluid, radiative flux vector and permeability of porous medium. , ..., †,  $g$ , S',  $T'$ ,  $c_p$ ,  $k$ ,  $q'_r$  and  $K'_p$  are,<br>rely, fluid velocity in  $x'$ -direction, fluid<br>in  $y'$ -direction, kinematic coefficient of<br>in the density, electrical conductivity,<br>ion due to gravity, thermal expan *a*  $\int u' = v' = 0$ ,  $T' = T'_\n\int v' \ge 0$ , (4a)<br>  $= U_0$ ,<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = h_f (T'_f - T')$  at  $y' = 0$  (4b)<br>  $T' \rightarrow T'_\n\int u \, dV = 0$  (4c)<br>  $\int u \, dV = 0$  (4c)<br>  $\int u \, dV = 0$  (4c)<br>  $\int u \, dV = 0$  as  $y' \rightarrow \infty$  (4c)<br>  $\int v \, dV = 0$ , fluid velocity in abject to following initial and boundary conditions<br>  $u' \le 0$ :  $u' = v' = 0$ ,  $T' = T'_x$  for  $y' \ge 0$ , (4a)<br>  $v' > 0$ :  $u' = U_0$ ,<br>  $v' = 0$ ,  $k \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = h_f (T'_f - T')$  at  $y' = 0$  (4b)<br>  $u' \rightarrow 0$ ,  $T' \rightarrow T'_x$  as  $y' \rightarrow \infty$  (4c)<br>
where<br>
w

In the case of an optically thin fluid the local radiant absorption (Raptis, 2011) is expressed as:

$$
\frac{\partial q'_r}{\partial y'} = -4a^{\dagger} \dagger \left( T'^4_{\infty} - T'^4 \right) \tag{5}
$$

where  $a^*$  is absorption coefficient and  $\uparrow^*$  is Stefan-Boltzmann constant.

It is assumed that the temperature difference within the fluid flow is sufficiently small such that fluid temperature  $T<sup>4</sup>$  may be expressed as a linear function of the temperature. This is accomplished by expanding  $T^4$  in a Taylor series about free stream temperature *T* . Neglecting second and higher order accuration due to giviny, uterium capatison<br>coefficient, fluid temperature, specific heat at<br>constant pressure, thermal conductivity of fluid,<br>radiative flux vector and permeability of porous<br>medium.<br>In the case of an opt scosty, filud density, electrical conductivity,<br>celebration due to gravity, thermal expansion<br>efficient, fluid temperature, specific heat at a<br>binstant pressure, thermal conductivity of fluid,<br>diative flux vector and perm

$$
T'^4 \cong 4T'^{3}_{\infty}T' - 3T'^{4}_{\infty}.
$$
 (6)

Making use of Eqs.  $(5)$  and  $(6)$  in Eq.  $(3)$ , we obtain

G. S. Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{m.c_p} - \frac{16a^* \dagger^* T_a^3}{m.c_p} (T' - T'_a)
$$
\n(corresponding equations for each system of equations for each system of equations (1), (2) and (7) in solutions for the dimensions of terms of equations (1), (2) and (7) in solutions for the dimensions of terms of equations.

In order to represent equations  $(1)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(7)$  in dimensionless form, we introduce the following

G. S. Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.  
\n
$$
\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c_{\rho}} - \frac{16a^4 T'^{3/2}}{c_{\rho}} (T' - T'_a)
$$
\n(corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The equations for each time step cons system of equations which are *t al.*, (1), (2) and (7) in solutions for the fluid temperature *d* and parameters  $t$  and  $t$  are  $t$ ,  $t$ ,  $t$  is  $t$ ,  $t$  is  $t$ ,  $t$  is  $t$ ,  $t$  is  $t$ ,  $t$ ,  $t$  is 

and convective Grashof number (Pantokratoras, 2014).  $M_c^2$ ,  $K_{nc}$  and  $R_c$  are, respectively, magnetic parameter, permeability parameter, radiation parameter for convective surface boundary condition which are introduced in this paper for the first time.  $\int_{\infty}^{t} \frac{g S^{T}(T_{f}^{'} - T_{w}^{'} )k^{2}}{U_{0}h_{f}^{2}}$ ,  $M_{c}^{2} = \frac{16a^{4} \pi^{2} \cdot R_{c}^{2}}{m^{2} \cdot R_{f}^{2}}$ , et al. (2008). The<br>  $\int_{\infty}^{t} \frac{e K_{f}^{'} h_{f}^{2}}{k^{2}}$ ,  $R_{c} = \frac{16a^{4} \pi^{2} \cdot R_{c}^{2}}{m^{2} \cdot R_{f}^{2}}$  (8) Non-dimensional

Equations  $(1)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(7)$  with the help of nondimensional quantities and parameters defined in (8) assume the following form

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0,\tag{9}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} - M_c^2 u - \frac{u}{K_{bc}} + G_{rc}T, \quad (10) \quad \text{of } \alpha
$$

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{P_r} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} - R_c T
$$
 (11)

Initial and boundary conditions (4a) to (4c), in non dimensional form, are given by

$$
t \le 0
$$
:  $u = v = T = 0$  for  $y \ge 0$ , (12a)

$$
t > 0
$$
:  $u = 1, v = 0, \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = -(1 - T)$  at  $y = 0$  then values  
Plantokrator  
(12b)

#### **3. NUMERICAL SOLUTION**

The set of non-linear coupled equations (9) to (11) subject to the initial and boundary conditions (12a) to (12c) are solved numerically using an implicit finite difference technique of Crank-Nicolson type as described by Soundalgekar and Ganesan (1981), Muthukumarswamy and Ganesan (1998) and Bapuji *et al.* (2008). It is well known that the boundary layer thickness changes along *x* (Merkin and Pop, 2011). Therefore, the calculation domain must be wider than the momentum and thermal boundary layer thicknesses to ensure greater accuracy. For the present problem we have considered *x*max=12, *y*max=8

 $(T'-T'_\n{\infty})$  equations for each time step constitute a tridiagonal %, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{16a^{\ast} \uparrow T_{\infty}^{3}}{(T'-T_{\infty}')}$  (corresponding to<br>  $\frac{16a^{\ast} \uparrow T_{\infty}^{3}}{(T'-T_{\infty}')}$  (corresponding to<br>
equations for each<br>
system of equation<br>
algorithm (Carna *p p <sup>u</sup> T T T k a T v T T*  $T^{\prime 3}_{\infty}$   $(T^{\prime} - T^{\prime})$  (corresponding to  $y \to \infty$ ). Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br> *k*<sub>*n*</sub>  $\frac{16a^* \pm {}^*\!T_{\infty}^{33}}{m\!} (T' - T'_{\infty})$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow e$ <br>
equations for each time<br>
system of equations where<br>
(7) and (7) in solutions for the fluid terminal exampl G. S. Seth *et al.* / **JAFM**, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{...c_p} - \frac{16a^+ \Upsilon_c^3}{...c_p} (T' - T'_\infty)$  (corresponding to  $y \to \infty$ ). The finite difference equations for each time s (7) algorithm (Carnahan *et al.,* 1969). Numerical Subset *i f h*  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{m c_p} - \frac{16a^4 \pi r^3}{m c_p} (T' - T'_\n)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ <br> *f*  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{m c_p} - \frac{16a^4 \pi r^3}{m c_p} (T' - T'_\n)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ <br>
equations for ea 3. S. Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{...c_p} - \frac{16a^4 \text{ T} \cdot \frac{\pi}{c^2}}{...c_p} (T' - T'_\infty)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite  $x = \frac{1}{U}$  equations fo S. Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{r'}{t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{x c_\rho} - \frac{16a^4 T'^2}{x_c} (T' - T'_a)$  (corresponding to  $y \to \infty$ ). The finite difference equations  $\frac{r'}{t} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v'$  $y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{2}}$ ,  $P_r = \frac{w}{\sqrt{2}}$ , and scientists in this field. Also, the stability and **JAFM**, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{x c_p} - \frac{16a^4 \text{ T} T_c^3}{x c_p} (T' - T'_a)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite differeed equations for each time step constitute a tridiage system of equations wh S. Seth *et al.* / **JAFM**, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{T'}{n'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{ac_p} - \frac{16a^2 \dagger T'^2}{ac_p} (T' - T'_*)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite difference<br>
caugations for each time step const **JAFM**, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{x_c} - \frac{16a^4 \text{ T} T_s^3}{x_c} (T' - T'_s)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite differentialities  $(7)$  and  $(7)$  algorithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerisent equ *G. S. Seth et al. / JAFM, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>*  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t'} + u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c_n} - \frac{16a^4 \Gamma T_c^3}{c_n} (T' - T'_\n)$  *(corresponding to*  $y \rightarrow \infty$ *). The fin<br>
equations for each time step consitues<br>
In*  $S'(T'_t - T'_x)k^2$   $\qquad t B_0^2 k^2$  *et al.* (2008). Therefore, we skip these portions to *IAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{m c_p} - \frac{16a^4 \text{ T} T_a^3}{m c_p} (T' - T'_\n)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ <br>
equations for each time is<br>
(7) system of equations which algorithm (Carnahan *e*<br>
sesent equa *et al.*  $i JAFM$ , Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $\frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{...c_p} - \frac{16a^+ \Gamma L^3}{...c_p} (T' - T'_*)$  (corresponding to  $y \to \infty$ ). The finite diff<br>
corresponding to  $y \to \infty$ ). The finite diff<br>
corresponding t Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $+u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c_p} - \frac{16a^2 \uparrow T_c^0}{c_p} (T' - T'_s)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite difference<br>  $+u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{c_p} - \frac{16a^$ Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>  $+u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{u c_p} - \frac{16a'^{\dagger} T'^2}{u c_p} (T' - T'_a)$  (corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite diff<br>  $+u' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial x'} + v' \frac{\partial T'}{\partial y'} = \frac{k}{u c_p} - \frac{16a'^{\dagger}$ 016.<br>(corresponding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite difference<br>equations for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>system of equations which are solved by Thomas<br>algorithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerical<br>solutions for the system of equations which are solved by Thomas solutions for the fluid temperature and fluid velocity are obtained corresponding to desired degree of accuracy for the required time by performing computations for a number of time steps. This is a well-established method for finding solution of any problem which is parabolic in nature and has been widely used to find accurate results by researchers convergence of the scheme is analyzed in detail by Muthukumarswamy and Ganesan (1998) and Bapuji avoid repetition. responding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite difference<br>titions for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>em of equations which are solved by Thomas<br>rithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerical<br>obtained corresponding to desired de 2016.<br>
(corresponding to *y* → ∞). The finite difference<br>
equations for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>
system of equations which are solved by Thomas<br>
algorithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerical<br>
nothious f solution<br>on the set of the set of the set of  $\alpha$  is the set of  $\alpha$  is the set of  $\alpha$  is the set of  $\alpha$ . 1969). Numerical for the fluid temperature and fluid velocity in the set  $\alpha$ . 1969). Numerical orresponding to d equations for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>equations for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>algorithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerical<br>algorithm (Carnahan *et al.*, 1969). Numerical<br>oslutions for the f onding to  $y \rightarrow \infty$ ). The finite difference<br>
so for each time step constitute a tridiagonal<br>
of equations which are solved by Thomas<br>
of equation when are solved by Thomas<br>
for the fluid temperature and fluid velocity<br>
for

 $\int \int_0^1 T_\infty^{3k^2} k^2$  Non-dimensional wall shear stress and nondimensional wall heat transfer are expressed as:

$$
u_{y}(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0} = \sqrt{x} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0}
$$
 (13)

$$
T_{\mathbf{y}}(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right]_{\mathbf{y}=0} = \sqrt{x} \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial \mathbf{y}}\right]_{\mathbf{y}=0} \tag{14}
$$

#### **3.1 Validation of Numerical Solution**

 $(9)$   $(2011)$  and have compared our results with those of , (10) of comparison we have considered steady flow past  $t_{re} = \frac{g \times (v_1^2 - s_r)^2}{U_g h_f^2}$ ,  $R_c = \frac{16\alpha^4 \Gamma \frac{v^2}{g} k^2}{...2 \gamma \hbar_f^2}$ , avoid repetition.<br>  $t_{pe} = \frac{K'_p h_f^2}{k^2}$ ,  $R_c = \frac{16\alpha^4 \Gamma \frac{v^2}{g} k^2}{...2 \gamma \hbar_f^2}$  (8) Non-dimensional wall shet<br>
there  $P_r$  and  $G_r$  are, respe *v'*,  $T = \frac{T - T_x}{T_f - T_x}$ ,  $y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x}}$ ,  $P_z = \frac{w - c_p}{k}$ , and scientists in this field. Also,<br>  $U_x h_j^2$ <br>  $U_y h_j^2$ <br>  $U_y h_j^2$ <br>  $V_z$ ,  $V_z = \frac{16a^4 \tImes}{{x^2 + b^2}}$ ,  $R_z = \frac{16a^4 \tImes}{{x^2 + b^2}}$ ,  $R_z = \frac{16a^4 \tImes}{{x^2 + b^2}}$ <br>  $V_z$  $n_f$   $I_f = I_a^2$   $\sqrt{x}$   $K$  convergene of the scheme is analyzed in detail by<br>  $K_{\infty} = \frac{g_5'(T_f - T_a')k^2}{(J_0^2)^2}$ ,  $M_a^2 = \frac{1B_0^2k^2}{m^2}$ ,  $\frac{16a^2 \text{ T} T_a'^2k^2}{m^2}$ , (8) Mondineption. Therefore, we skip these portions  $J_{\kappa} = \frac{g \cdot (T_f' - T_{\kappa}^r) k^2}{U_{\phi} h_f^2}$ ,  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{16a^2 \cdot 10^{-2} k^2}{L_{\kappa}^2 h_{\kappa}^2}$ ,  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{K_{\rho} h_{\kappa}^2}{k^2}$ ,  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{K_{\rho} h_{\kappa}^2}{k^2}$ ,  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{K_{\rho} h_{\kappa}^2}{k^2}$ ,  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{16a^2 \cdot 10^{-2} k^2}{L_{\k$  $K^2$ ,  $W^2$ ,  $T^2 = \frac{T - T'_\omega}{T'_f - T'_\omega}$ ,  $Y = \frac{T' - T'_\omega}{T'_f - T'_\omega}$ , and scientists in this field. Also, the stability is the science of the scheme is stability because  $\frac{1}{V}$ ,  $N_f = \frac{T - T'_\omega}{T_f - T'_\omega}$ , and science of the sc  $v', T = \frac{T'-T_x}{T'_j - T'_x}, y = \frac{y}{\sqrt{x}}, P_z = \frac{c}{\sqrt{x}},$  and scientists in this field. Also, the stability<br>
convergence of the scheme is analyzed in details of the scheme is analyzed in details<br>  $\frac{K'_z h'_z}{(V'_z - T'_x)^k}$ ,  $M_z = \frac{1 - B_0^$  $\frac{1}{p} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} - R_c T$  (11) porous medium and thermal radiation. In our *r*  $\int_{\gamma_F}^{\gamma_F} = \frac{K'_\mu h_j^2}{k^2}$ ,  $R_c = \frac{16\alpha^4 \Gamma T'_\n}{m^2}$  *r* **(3)** Mon-dimensional wall heat tre<br>
here  $P_r$  and  $G_r$  are, respectively, Prandtl number<br>
deconvective Grashof number (Pantokratoras,  $u_y(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y$  $G_{\kappa} = \frac{g \cdot (Y_{\ell} - Y_{\ell})}{\xi_{\ell} + \xi_{\ell}},$  are *L*(2003). Therefore, we skep these pertions to<br>  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{K_{\ell} h_{\ell}^2}{k^2}, R_{\ell} = \frac{16 \pi^4 \Gamma_{\ell}^2 k^2}{c_{\kappa} - \pi^2}$  avoid repetition.<br>  $K_{\kappa} = \frac{K_{\ell} h_{\ell}^2}{k^2}, R_{\ell} = \frac{1$  $K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{K_{\mu}h_{\nu}^2}{k^2}$ ,  $K_{\mu} = \frac{16\alpha^2 \Gamma_{\mu}^2 h_{\nu}^2}{k^2}$ , (8) Mondimensional wall shear stress and non-<br>
throw can represented the matter are expressed as:<br>
there  $P_{\mu}$  and  $G_{\mu}$  are respectively. Prandl where *P* and *G<sub>i</sub>*, are, respectively. Prandit number<br>
and convective Grashof number (Patrokvatoras,  $u_y(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right]_{x=0} = \sqrt{x} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0}$  (13)<br>
2014).  $M_x^2$ ,  $K_y$  and  $R_x$  are, respectively, magnetic co 4).  $M_c^2$ ,  $K_{bc}$  and  $R_c$  are, respectively, magnetic<br>
inneter, permeability parameter, radiation from the ship of one is  $T_y(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0} = \sqrt{x}\left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0}$ <br>
and (1), 02) and (7) with the help of non-<br> 2014).  $M_c^2$ ,  $K_{pe}$  and  $K_c$  are, respectively, magnetic<br>parameter, permeability parameter, radiation<br>parameter, permeability parameter for convective surface boundary condition<br>parameter for convective surface boundary  $\partial T$  wall temperature  $T(0)$  computed in this paper with d convertive Grashoft number (Pantokrators,  $u_x = 0$ ,  $u_y = -\sqrt{x} \left[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right]_{x=0}$  (1.9)<br>
Happen, and  $R_x$  are respectively, magnetic<br>
transmitter, permanelisity parameter, reduction the summation of  $T_y(0,t) = \left[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial$ are<br>note for convective surface boundary conditions  $I_y(0,t) = \left[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0} = \sqrt{x} \left[ \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} \right]_{y=0}$  (14)<br>
Apich are introduced in this paper for the first time.<br>
Againsons (1), (2) and (7) with the help of non-<br> In order to verify the correctness of our numerical results we have first applied our numerical scheme to the problem considered by Merkin and Pop Merkin and Pop (2011) which are provided in tabular form by Pantokratoras (2014). For the sake a stationary plate inside moving free stream in the absence of magnetic field, thermal buoyancy force, numerical scheme steady state solution is reached for large value of time *t* (i.e. *t*=7) when it was found that the absolute difference between the numerical values of fluid temperature and fluid velocity obtained for two consecutive time steps is less than  $T_y(0,t) = \left[\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}\right]_{y=0} = \sqrt{x}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]_{x=0}$ <br> **3.1 Validation of Numer**<br>
In order to verify the correct<br>
results we have first applied<br>
to the problem considered<br>
(2011) and have compared of<br>
Merkin and Pop (2011) w  $10^{-6}$ . A comparison is made between the values of the values of Merkin and Pop (2011) and These values of wall temperature are presented in tabular form in Table 1.

**Table 1 Validation of numerical code by comparing values of wall temperature taking**

| $P_r = 1$ |                                     |                                 |                         |  |  |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| x         | T(0)<br>Merkin<br>and Pop<br>(2011) | T(0)<br>Pantokratoras<br>(2014) | T(0)<br>Present<br>code |  |  |
| 0.001     | 0.062                               | 0.062                           | 0.062                   |  |  |
| 0.124     | 0.457                               | 0.446                           | 0.455                   |  |  |
| 1.867     | 0.784                               | 0.780                           | 0.783                   |  |  |
| 8.335     | 0.895                               | 0.892                           | 0.892                   |  |  |
| 110.335   | 1.0                                 | 0.970                           | 0.985                   |  |  |

It is perceived from Table 1 that the numerical values of wall temperature T(0) obtained through

our numerical scheme are in a good agreement with the values of Merkin and Pop (2011) and Pantokratoras (2014). This favorable comparison lends confidence and justifies the correctness of the results to be presented subsequently.

#### **4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In order to analyze the effects of the magnetic field, thermal buoyancy force, permeability of the medium, radiation, thermal diffusivity and time on the flow field, the numerical solution of the fluid G. S. Seth *et al. / JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.<br>
our numerical scheme are in a good agreement with<br>
the values of Merkin and Pop (2011) and<br>
Pantokratoras (2014). This favorable comparison magnetics of the boundary layer coordinate y in Figs. 2 to 7 for various values of the magnetic parameter  $M_c^2$ , **0.7 W** convective Grashof number  $G<sub>r</sub>$ , permeability parameter  $K_{pc}$ , radiation parameter  $R_c$ , Prandtl  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.5 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ number  $P_r$  and time *t*. It is revealed from Figs. 2 to 7 that, the fluid velocity is maximum at the surface of the plate and it decreases uniformly upon increasing the boundary layer coordinate y to approach the free stream value.



**Fig. 2. Velocity profiles when** *Grc***=10,** *Kpc***=0.4,** *Rc***=2,** *Pr***=0.71,** *x***=1 and** *t***=0.3.**



It is revealed from Fig. 2 that *u* decreases by increasing  $M_c^2$  throughout the boundary layer  $\frac{H_C}{h}$ 

region.  $M_c^2$  signifies the relative strength of the magnetic force to the viscous force,  $M_c^2$  increases upon increasing the strength of the magnetic force. This implies that the magnetic field tends to retard the fluid flow throughout the boundary layer region. This phenomenon is attributed to the Lorentz force, induced due to the movement of an electrically conducting fluid in the presence of a magnetic field, which has a tendency to resist the fluid motion.



It is evident from Fig. 3 that *u* increases upon increasing  $G_r$  throughout the boundary layer region.  $G_r$  represents the relative strength of the thermal buoyancy force to the viscous force,  $G_r$  increases upon increasing the strength of the thermal buoyancy force. This implies that the thermal buoyancy force tends to accelerate the fluid flow throughout the boundary layer region. It is perceived from Fig. 4 that *u* increases as  $K_{nc}$ increases. It may be noted that an increase in  $K_{pc}$ implies that there is a decrease in the resistance of the porous medium. Due to this reason, the permeability of the medium tends to accelerate the fluid flow throughout the boundary layer region. It is observed from Fig. 5 that *u* decreases upon increasing *R<sup>c</sup>* throughout the boundary layer region. This implies that the thermal radiation tends to retard the fluid flow for an optically-thin fluid. It is noticed from Fig. 6 that *u* decreases upon increasing  $P_r$  throughout the boundary layer region.  $P_r$  is a measure of the relative strength of the viscosity to thermal diffusivity of the fluid and therefore,  $P_r$  decreases upon increasing the thermal diffusivity. It is widely known that natural convection flow is induced in a fluid with low Prandtl number. If the Prandtl number decreases, then the strength of the thermal buoyancy force increases due to the thermal diffusion which tends to accelerate the fluid flow throughout the boundary layer region. Fig. 7 reveals that *u* increases upon increasing *t* throughout the boundary layer region. This implies that the fluid velocity gets accelerated

with the progress of time.



In order to investigate the effects of radiation, thermal diffusion and time on the temperature field, are depicted graphically versus the boundary layer coordinate  $y$  in Figs. 8 to 10 for various values of  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$  and *t* taking  $M_c^2 = 4$ ,  $G_{rc} = 10$  and This implies that the thermal of

 $K_{pc} = 0.4$ . It is revealed from Figs. 8 to 10 that the fluid temperature is maximum at the surface of the plate and it decreases uniformly upon increasing the boundary layer coordinate y to approach the free stream value. Figs. 8 to 10 show that the fluid temperature *T* decreases upon increasing either  $R_c$ or *P<sup>r</sup>* whereas it increases upon increasing *t*.



**Fig. 10. Temperature profiles when**  $R_c = 2$ ,  $x=1$ **and** *Pr***=0.71.**

This implies that the thermal diffusion tends to enhance the fluid temperature whereas thermal

radiation has a reverse effect on it. The fluid The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the it gradually attains the steady-state value for large time i.e. *t*>1.5.

Figures 11 and 12 demonstrate the variation of the fluid velocity and the fluid temperature for different values of the distance  $x$  (where  $x$  is the distance along the surface measured from the leading edge). the velocity and temperature profiles become steeper near the surface of the plate. This implies that the momentum and thermal boundary layer thicknesses decrease along the distance from the leading edge Pantokratoras (2014).



**Fig. 11. Velocity profiles when**  $M_c^2 = 4$ **,**  $G_c = 10$ **,** *Kpc***=0.4,** *Rc***=2,** *Pr***=0.71 and** *t***=0.3**



*Grc***=10,** *Kpc***=0.4,** *Rc***=2,** *Pr***=0.71 and** *t***=0.3.**

However, it is observed from Fig. 11 that the fluid velocity decreases uniformly starting from its maximum value at the plate surface which is not seen in the velocity profiles presented by Pantokratoras (2014) (where the velocity profiles attain maximum value near the plate but not at the plate). This nature of velocity profile in the present problem is due to the flow of an electrically-conducting fluid in the presence of a magnetic field whose tendency is to retard the fluid flow by virtue of the Lorentz force which tends to stabilize the fluid motion.

temperature enhances with the progress of time and<br>it credually ettains the steady state value for large non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_v(0,t)$  are the It is seen from Figs. 11 and 12 that as *x* increases,<br>the velocity and temperature profiles become steeper<br>mumerical values of  $T(0,t)$  and  $T_v(0,t)$  in tabular which is in agreement with the results obtained by effects of various agencies on them. It is evident from<br>Pantokratoras (2014). Table 2 that  $T(0,t)$  decreases upon increasing 016.<br>The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>most important entities in the problems concerning<br>convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>wall temp most important entities in the problems concerning convective surface boundary conditions because the wall temperature although not known a priori, but it plays a key role in inducing natural convection due to the difference between wall temperature and the ambient fluid temperature. Therefore, we present the 016.<br>The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(y(t), t)$  and the<br>non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>most important entities in the problems concerning<br>convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>wall tem form in Table 2 for various values of  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ , x, t,  $M_c^2$ ,  $G_{rc}$  and  $K_{pc}$  in order to study the 16.<br>
The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>
non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>
nonvective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
rall effects of various agencies on them. It is evident from 016.<br>
The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>
non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>
most important entities in the problems concerning<br>
convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
wall 16.<br>
The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>
non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>
nost important entities in the problems concerning<br>
convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
reali  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ ,  $G_{rc}$  and  $K_{nc}$  whereas it increases upon 016.<br>
The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>
non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>
morst important entities in the problems concerning<br>
convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
wal 016.<br>
The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>
non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>
most important entities in the problems concerning<br>
convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>
wall increasing  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ ,  $G_{rc}$  and  $K_{nc}$  whereas it decreases The non-dimensional wall temperature  $T(0,t)$  and the<br>non-dimensional wall heat transfer  $T_y(0,t)$  are the<br>convective surface boundary conditions because the<br>wall temperature although not known a priori, but it<br>plays a key increase upon increasing *x*. This implies that the thermal radiation, thermal buoyancy force and the permeability of the medium tend to reduce the wall temperature whereas these parameters have the reverse effect on the wall heat transfer. The magnetic field and the thermal diffusion tend to enhance the wall temperature whereas they have the reverse effect on the wall heat transfer. As we move along the leading edge, the wall temperature and the wall heat transfer become enhanced. The wall temperature gets effects of various agencies on them. It is evident from<br>
Table 2 that  $T(0,t)$  decreases upon increasing<br>  $R_c, P_r, G_{re}$  and  $M_c^2$ .  $T_y(0,t)$  increases upon<br>
increasing  $t$  and  $M_c^2$ .  $T_y(0,t)$  increases upon<br>
increasing  $t$ (0,*t*) decreases upon increasing<br>whereas it increases upon<br> $G_{\kappa}$  and  $K_{\kappa}$  whereas it decreases<br>and  $M_c^2$ . Both  $T(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$ <br>reasing x. This implies that the<br>thermal buoyancy force and the<br>e medium tend to increasing t and  $M_c^2$ .  $T_y$ (0, t) increases upon<br>increasing t and  $M_c^2$ .  $T_y$ (0, t) increases upon<br>increasing  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ ,  $G_w$  and  $K_p$  whereas it decreases<br>upon increasing t and  $M_c^2$ . Both  $T(0, t)$  and  $T_y(0, t)$ <br>the alla  $n_e$ .  $x_y$ ( $\sigma$ , $t$ ) increases upon  $R_e$ ,  $P_r$ ,  $G_{re}$  and  $M_e^2$ . Both  $T(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$  consineressing  $x$ . This implies that the by of the medium tend to reduce the wall bidiation, the

The numerical values of the wall shear stress various values of  $M_c^2$ ,  $G_{rc}$ ,  $K_{pc}$ ,  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ , x and t. It is *c* these parameters have the wall heat transfer. The magnetic and diffusion tend to enhance the erecas they have the reverse effect ansfer. As we move along the lll temperature and the wall heat anced. The wall temperatu increasing  $x, M_c^2, R_c$  and  $P_r$  whereas it decreases upon increasing  $n_c$ ,  $r_r$ ,  $\sigma_{rc}$  and  $\alpha_{pc}$  whicked  $T_0(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$  increasing  $t$  and  $M_c^2$ . Both  $T(0,t)$  and  $T_y(0,t)$  increasing  $x$ . This implies that the thermal endiation, thermal buyangy force and the permeab Lead transfer. As we move<br>then, the wall temperature and<br>the wall temperature and<br>d the wall heat transfer gets<br>of time.<br>cal values of the wall temperated in tabular form in<br>section.<br>So for  $M_c^2$ ,  $G_r$ ,  $K_{pc}$ ,  $R_c$ ,  $P_r$ increasing  $G_{rc}$ ,  $K_{pc}$  and  $t$ . This implies that the magnetic field and the thermal radiation tend to enhance the wall shear stress whereas the thermal diffusion, thermal buoyancy force and the permeability of the medium have the reverse effect on it. The wall shear stress increases along the distance from the leading edge and it reduces with the progress of time.

enhanced and the wall heat transfer gets reduced with

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

the progress of time.

A non-similar solution to the fundamental problem<br>concerning unsteady hydromagnetic natural concerning unsteady hydromagnetic convection flow through a fluid-saturated porous medium of a viscous, incompressible, electrically conducting and optically-thin radiating fluid past an impulsively-moving semi-infinite vertical plate with a convective surface boundary condition is obtained using an efficient implicit finite-difference scheme of the Crank-Nicolson type. Significant findings are predicted and they are summarized as follows:

 The momentum and thermal boundary layer thicknesses decrease along the distance from the leading edge.

## G. S. Seth *et al.* / *JAFM*, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016.

|   | G. S. Seth et al. / JAFM, Vol. 9, No. 4, pp. 1887-1876, 2016. |                      |                  |                               |   |                              |                       |                        |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|--|
|   |   |                      |                  |                               |   |                              |                       |                        |  |  |
|   |   |                      |                  |                               | Table 2 Wall Temperature and Wall heat transfer |                              |                       |                        |  |  |
| $R_c$                                     | $P_r$   | $\boldsymbol{x}$     | $\boldsymbol{t}$ | $M_c^2$                       | $G_{\!c}$                                       | $K_{\mathit{pc}}$            | T(0,t)                | $-T_{\rm V}(0,t)$      |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$       | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.39842               | 0.43518                |  |  |
| 5   | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.34222               | 0.48589                |  |  |
| 8   | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.30362               | 0.52166                |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.3   | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.50865               | 0.35285                |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.5   | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.44254               | 0.40188                |  |  |
| $\overline{2}$                            | $\mathbf{1}$  | 0.5                  | 0.3              | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$      | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.35710               | 0.46691                |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$                   | 0.71  | 0.05                 | 0.3              | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$      | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.32938               | 0.15239                |  |  |
| $\sqrt{2}$                                | 0.71  | $\mathbf{1}$         | 0.3              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.39861               | 0.61523                |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$<br>$\overline{c}$ | 0.71<br>0.71  | 10<br>0.5            | 0.3<br>0.5       | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$<br>4 | 10<br>10  | 0.4<br>0.4                   | 0.40273<br>0.43022    | 1.97847<br>0.41339     |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$      | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.44312               | 0.40443                |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$                   | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\mathbf{1}$                  | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.43873               | 0.40718                |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\overline{7}$                | 10  | 0.4                          | 0.44523               | 0.40313                |  |  |
| $\overline{\mathbf{c}}$                   | 0.71  | $0.5\,$              | 0.7              | $\overline{4}$                | $\overline{5}$                                  | 0.4                          | 0.44478               | 0.40341                |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\overline{4}$                | 15  | 0.4                          | 0.44116               | 0.40564                |  |  |
| $\overline{c}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.2                          | 0.44497               | 0.40329                |  |  |
| $\overline{2}$                            | 0.71  | 0.5                  | 0.7              | $\overline{4}$                | 10  | 0.8                          | 0.44166               | 0.40533                |  |  |
|   |   |                      |                  |                               | <b>Table 3 Wall shear stress</b>                |                              |                       |                        |  |  |
|   | $M_c^2$   | $G_{\!c}$            | $K_{_{pc}}$      | $R_c$                         | $P_r$   | $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$   | $\boldsymbol{t}$      | $-u_{\mathsf{y}}(0,t)$ |  |  |
|   | 1   | 10                   | 0.4              | $\overline{2}$                | 0.71  | $\mathbf{1}$                 | 0.3                   | 1.02719                |  |  |
|   | $\overline{4}$  | 10                   | 0.4              | $\overline{2}$                | 0.71  | $\,1\,$                      | 0.3                   | 1.72580                |  |  |
|   | 7   | 10                   | 0.4              | $\overline{2}$                | 0.71  | $\mathbf{1}$                 | 0.3                   | 2.30646                |  |  |
|   | $\overline{4}$  | 5                    | 0.4              | $\mathfrak{2}$                | 0.71  | $\mathbf{1}$                 | 0.3                   | 2.14879                |  |  |
|   | $\overline{4}$<br>$\Lambda$                                   | 15<br>1 <sub>0</sub> | 0.4<br>02        | $\boldsymbol{2}$<br>$\gamma$  | 0.71<br>0.71                                    | $\mathbf{1}$<br>$\mathbf{1}$ | 0.3<br>0 <sup>3</sup> | 1.30282                |  |  |

**Table 2 Wall Temperature and Wall heat transfer**

**Table 3 Wall shear stress**

| $M_c^2$        | $G_{rc}$ | $K_{pc}$ | $R_{c}$        | $P_{r}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\mathfrak{t}$ | $-u_y(0,t)$ |  |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------------|---------|------------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| 1              | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.02719     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.72580     |  |
| 7              | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 2.30646     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 5        | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 2.14879     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 15       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.30282     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.2      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 2.21610     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.8      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.45187     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | 5              | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.88720     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | 8              | 0.71    | 1                | 0.3            | 1.99922     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.3     | 1                | 0.3            | 1.30325     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.5     | 1                | 0.3            | 1.56344     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ |         | 1                | 0.3            | 1.86901     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 0.05             | 0.3            | 1.03608     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 0.5              | 0.3            | 1.22089     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 10               | 0.3            | 5.50286     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.5            | 1.51218     |  |
| $\overline{4}$ | 10       | 0.4      | $\overline{c}$ | 0.71    | 1                | 0.7            | 1.41621     |  |

- The thermal buoyancy force, permeability of the porous medium and the thermal diffusion tend to accelerate the fluid flow throughout the boundary layer region whereas the magnetic field and the thermal radiation have the reverse effect on it.
- The fluid flow gets accelerated and the fluid temperature becomes enhanced with the progress of time.
- Thermal diffusion tends to enhance the fluid temperature whereas thermal radiation has the reverse effect on it.
- The thermal radiation, thermal buoyancy force and the permeability of the porous medium tend to reduce the wall temperature whereas these parameters have the reverse effect on the wall heat transfer. The magnetic field and the thermal diffusion tend to enhance the wall temperature whereas these parameters have the reverse effect on the wall heat transfer. The wall temperature convective

becomes enhanced and the wall heat transfer tends to reduce with the progress of time.

The magnetic field and the thermal radiation tend to enhance the wall shear stress whereas the thermal diffusion, thermal buoyancy force and the permeability of the porous medium have the reverse effect on it. The wall shear stress reduces with the progress of time.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Authors are thankful to the respected reviewers for their valuable suggestions which helped them to improve the quality of this research paper.

# **REFERENCES**

Aziz, A. (2009). A similarity solution for laminar thermal boundary layer over a flat plate with a surface boundary condition.

*Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul*. 14, 1064–1068.

- Bapuji, P., K. Ekambavanan and I. Pop (2008). Finite difference analysis of laminar free convection flow past a non isothermal vertical cone. *Heat Mass Transf*. 44**,** 517–526.
- Bejan, A. (1993). *Convection Heat Transfer.* 2nd ed. NY: Wiley.
- Bestman, A. R. and S. K. Adjepong (1988). Unsteady hydromagnetic free-convection flow with radiative heat transfer in a rotating fluid. *Astrophys. Space Sci*. 143, 217–224.
- Butt, A. S., S. A. Munawar, A. Ali and A. Mehmood (2012). Entropy generation in hydrodynamic slip flow over a vertical plate with convective boundary. *J. Mech. Sci. Technol*. 26, 2977– 2984.
- Carnahan, B., H. A. Luther and J. O. Wilkes (1969). *Applied numerical methods*, New York: John Wiley.
- Chamkha, A. J. (1997). Transient MHD free convection from a porous medium supported by a surface. *Fluid/Particle Separation J*. 10, 101– 107.
- Chamkha, A. J. and S. E. Ahmed (2001). Similarity Solution for unsteady MHD flow near a stagnation point of a three-dimensional porous body with heat and mass transfer, heat generation/absorption and chemical reaction. *J. Appl. Fluid Mech.* 4(2), 87-94.
- Chamkha, A. J., H. S. Takhar and V. M. Soundalgekar (2001). Radiation effects on free convection flow past a semi-infinite vertical plate with mass transfer. *Chem. Eng. J.* 84(3), 335-342.
- Cramer, K. R. and S. I. Pai (1973). *Magnetofluiddynamics for engineers and applied physicists*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Das, S., S. K. Guchhait and R. N. Jana. (2014). Effects of Hall currents and radiation on unsteady MHD flow past a heated moving vertical plate. *J. Appl. Fluid Mech.* 7(4), 683- 692.
- Eldabe, N. T. M., M. d. A. Hassan, W. A. Godh (2012). Unsteady Magnetohydrodynamic free convection flow past a semi-infinite permeable moving plate through porous medium with chemical reaction and radiation absorption. *J. Heat Transf.* 135, 2.
- England, W. G., A. F. Emery (1969). Thermal radiation effects on the laminar free convection boundary layer of an absorbing gas. *J. Heat Transfer* 91, 37–44.
- Ferdows, M., M. J. Uddin and A. A. Afify (2013). Scaling group transformation for MHD boundary layer free convective heat and mass transfer flow past a convectively heated nonlinear radiating stretching sheet. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 56, 181–187.
- Gebhart, A., Y. Jaluria, R. L. Mahajan and B. Sammakia (1998). *Buoyancy Induced Flow and Transport*, New York: Hemisphere.
- Ghosh, S. K., S. Das and R. N. Jana (2015). Transient MHD free convective flow of an optically thick gray gas past a moving vertical plate in the presence of thermal radiation and mass diffusion. *J. Appl. Fluid Mech.* 8(1), 65- 73.
- Howell, J. R., R. Siegel and M. P. Mengüç (2010). *Thermal radiation heat transfer*. 5th ed. FL: CRC Press.
- Ishak, A. (2010). Similarity solutions for flow and heat transfer over a permeable surface with convective boundary condition *Appl. Math. Comput*. 217, 837–842.
- Jha, B. K. (1991). MHD free convection and mass transform flow through a porous medium. *Astrophys. Space Sci*. 175, 283–289.
- Khan, W. A. and R. S. R. Gorla (2010). Mixed convection of power-law fluids along a wedge with convective boundary condition in a porous medium. *J. Mech. Sci. Technol*. 24, 1919–1925.
- Lefebvre, A. H. (1998). GAS Turbine Combustion, 2nd ed. New York: CRC Press.
- Lok, Y. Y., J. H. Merkin and I. Pop (2013). Mixed convection boundary-layer flow over a vertical surface embedded in a porous material subject to a convective boundary condition. *Transp. Porous Med*. 98, 451–463.
- Magyari, E. (2011). Comment on A similarity solution for laminar thermal boundary layer over a flat plate with a convective surface boundary condition by A. Aziz. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul*. 16, 599–601.
- Makinde, O. D. and A. Aziz (2010). MHD mixed convection from a vertical plate embedded in a porous medium with a convective boundary condition. *Int. J. Therm. Sci*. 49, 1813–1820.
- Makinde, O. D. and A. Aziz (2011). Boundary layer flow of a nanofluid past a stretching sheet with a convective boundary condition*. Int. J. Therm. Sci*. 50, 1326-1332.
- Merkin, J. H. (1994). Natural convection boundarylayer flow on a vertical surface with Newtonian heating, *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* 15, 392–398.
- Merkin, J. H. and I. Pop (1996). Conjugate free convection on a vertical surface. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf*. 39, 1527–1534.
- Merkin, J. H. and I. Pop (2011). The forced convection flow of a uniform stream over a flat surface with a convective surface boundary condition. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul*. 16, 3602-3609.
- Merkin, J. H., Y. Y. Lok and I. Pop (2013). Mixed convection boundary-layer flow on a vertical surface in a porous medium with a constant convective boundary condition. *Transp. Porous*

*Med*. 99, 413–425.

- Muthukumaraswamy, R. and P. Ganesan (1998). Unsteady flow past an impulsively started vertical plate with heat and mass transfer. *Heat Mass Transf*. 34, 187–193.
- Nowak, G. and W. Wróblewski (2011). Optimization of blade cooling system with use of conjugate heat transfer approach. *Int. J. Therm. Sci*. 50, 1770–1781.
- Pantokratoras, A. (2014). Mixed convection in a Darcy–Brinkman porous medium with a constant convective thermal boundary condition. *Transp Porous Med*. 104, 273–288.
- Rahman, M. M. (2011). Locally similar solutions for hydromagnetic and thermal slip flow boundary layers over a flat plate with variable fluid properties and convective surface boundary condition. *Meccanica* 46, 1127–1143.
- Raptis, A. (1986). Flow through a porous medium in the presence of a magnetic field. *Int. J. Energy Res.* 10, 97–100.
- Raptis, A. (2011). Free convective oscillatory flow and mass transfer past a porous plate in the presence of radiation for an optically thin fluid. *Therm. Sci.* 15, 849–857.
- Raptis, A., C. Perdikis and A. Leontitsis (2003). Effects of radiation in an optically thin gray gas flowing past a vertical infinite plate in the presence of a magnetic field, *Heat Mass Transf.* 39, 771–773.
- Samiulhaq, I. Khan, F. Alim and S. Shafie (2013).

Radiation and magnetohydrodynamics effects on unsteady free convection flow in a porous medium. *Math. Prob. Eng*. 148410, 7.

- Schlichting, H., K. Gersten (2000). Boundary layer theory. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed. New York: Springer.
- Seth, G. S., S. M. Hussain and S. Sarkar (2014). Effects of Hall current and rotation on unsteady MHD natural convection flow with heat and mass transfer past an impulsively moving vertical plate in the presence of radiation and chemical reaction. *Bulg. Chem. Comm*. 46(4), 704-718.
- Seth, G. S., S. Sarkar and R. Nandkeolyar (2015b). Unsteady hydromagnetic natural convection flow past an impulsively moving vertical plate with Newtonian heating in a rotating system. *J. Appl. Fluid Mech.* 8(3), 623-633.
- Seth, G. S., S. Sarkar, S. M. Hussain and G. K. Mahato (2015a). Effects of Hall current and rotation on hydromagnetic natural convection flow with heat and mass transfer of a heat absorbing fluid past an impulsively moving vertical plate with ramped temperature. *J. Appl. Fluid Mech.* 8(1), 159-171.
- Soundalgekar, V. M. and P. Ganesan (1981). Finite difference analysis of transient free convection with mass transfer on an isothermal flat plate. *Int. J. Eng. Sci*. 19, 757–770.
- Zhang, L. (2013). *Conjugate heat and mass transfer in heat mass exchanger ducts*, 1st ed. Oxford: Elsevier.